


## Triple A – Authority, Archaisms, Anarchy

### Explanatory Note

This work brings together four summary studies on three major subjects with respect to the 1611 Holy Bible; authority, archaisms, anarchy whereby **“The entrance of thy words giveth light”** Psalm 119:130 [twitter.com/psalm119bible](https://twitter.com/psalm119bible). The studies are as follows:

#### Authority

King James Bible Supremacy, **“The Royal Law”** James 2:8



These studies show that the 1611 Holy Bible is **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16 **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21 **“the royal law”** James 2:8 and **“All scripture”** that **“is given by inspiration of God”** 2 Timothy 3:16 and no other book is.

#### Archaisms

##### God’s Standard

This study shows that archaisms do not exist in the 1611 Holy Bible. Unfamiliar AV1611 words are not archaic but typically in current use with their Biblical meaning e.g. **“covert”** with the embedded word *cover* with respect to what is *concealed* as in Isaiah 4:6 **“And there shall be a tabernacle for a shadow in the daytime from the heat, and for a place of refuge, and for a covert from storm and from rain.”** Familiar words said to have an archaic meaning in fact have a wider range of meaning in the AV1611 than in modern versions or everyday speech where they may lose their full range of meaning and become as the Lord Jesus Christ said in John 15:6 **“If a man abide not in me, he is cast forth as a branch, and is withered.”** The word **“let”** can mean *allow* as now or *hinder* in the AV1611 but can lose the second meaning in a non-AV1611 context. Note therefore 2 Thessalonians 2:3, 7 **“Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition...For the mystery of iniquity doth already work: only he who now letteth will let, until he be taken out of the way”** that give both meanings; *allow* and that which must be **“taken out of the way”** being a *hindrance*.

#### Anarchy

##### The Superiority of the 1611 Holy Bible over the Greek and the Original

This study shows that to depart from the AV1611 for *any* reason is to exalt **“the word of men”** over **“the word of God”** 1 Thessalonians 2:13, preclude any capacity to **“...grow in grace, and in the knowledge of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ. To him be glory both now and for ever. Amen”** 2 Peter 3:18 and even to rob the believer of any certainty of salvation as John sets it forth in 1 John 5:13 **“These things have I written unto you that believe on the name of the Son of God; that ye may know that ye have eternal life, and that ye may believe on the name of the Son of God.”**

**“An expected end”** Jeremiah 29:11

It is hoped therefore that even in these increasingly **“perilous times”** when **“men shall be...unholy”** 2 Timothy 3:1, 2 as in Isaiah 14:14 **“I will be like the most High”** defying **“the scripture of truth”** Daniel 10:21 so that increasingly **“the way of truth shall be evil spoken of”** 2 Peter 2:2 this compilation of studies on authority, archaisms, anarchy for the 1611 Holy Bible will be an encouragement to today’s believers and even swell their numbers as the disciples of old experienced. **“Then had the churches rest throughout all Judaea and Galilee and Samaria, and were edified; and walking in the fear of the Lord, and in the comfort of the Holy Ghost, were multiplied”** Acts 9:31.



This writer believes unequivocally in the authority indeed supremacy of the 1611 Authorized King James Holy Bible, as the accompanying graphic<sup>1</sup> vividly shows.

It is this writer's firm belief that the scripture itself in the Book of Daniel brings together the essential points of those studies with respect to the authority indeed supremacy of the 1611 Holy Bible and the wisdom of the King James translators as follows with additional illustrations, namely:

*The Official Highway Code*<sup>3</sup> published by the Department for Transport.

*The 1611 King James Bible - Title Page (Newe Testament)*<sup>4</sup>

It is hoped therefore that genuine Bible believers will see a fulfilment concerning the 1611 Holy Bible of Psalm 107:42

***"The righteous shall see it, and rejoice: and all iniquity shall stop her mouth."*** That is not to say that will happen immediately because as Solomon states ***"It is an honour for a man to cease from strife: but every fool will be meddling"*** Proverbs 20:3. Some observations about meddling fools ***"prating against us with malicious words"*** 3 John 10 are therefore in order before addressing the Book of Daniel and the 1611 Holy Bible in type.

***Meddling Fools “prating against us with malicious words” 3 John 10***

These are the ‘originals-onlyists’ and Hebrew/Aramaic/Greekiolators who may use or abuse the 1611 Holy Bible and may or may not endorse modern versions but are united in their opposition to it as ***“All scripture”*** that ***“is given by inspiration of God”*** 2 Timothy 3:16. It can, however, be firmly stated with respect to these Hebrew/Aramaic/Greekiolators that they are those ***“which speak grievous things proudly and contemptuously against the righteous”*** Psalm 31:18 because:

1. They violate the priesthood of all believers by resorting to specialist knowledge for what God really said, supposedly, 1 Peter 2:5, 9.
2. They fail to compare like with like by merely referring to Hebrew/Aramaic/Greek *words* i.e. those *languages* and never a *book* that is a *bible* in order to criticise the 1611 Holy Bible, which is a *Book*. As the Lord said to Israel ***“O house of Israel...are not your ways unequal?”*** Ezekiel 18:25, 29.
3. They ignore the fact for the New Testament that though a stage in the preservation of ***“The words of the LORD”*** Psalm 12:6 first century Greek was *never* authoritative for the New Testament when first written. Dr Riplinger notes that Herman Hoskier identified 2<sup>nd</sup> century Greek-Latin-Syriac polyglot New Testaments i.e. in parallel<sup>5</sup>. Moreover, Dr Riplinger, her emphases, has stated directly to this writer that ***“In Foxe’s Book of Martyrs, vol. 4, pp 671-675, Foxe quotes an old “treatise”...“Also the four evangelists wrote the gospel in divers languages, as Matthew in Judea, Mark in Italy, Luke in Achaia, and John in Asia. And all these wrote in the languages of the same countries...”*** That is, parts of the New Testament were *first* written in *different* languages and existed in *parallel* to facilitate to the utmost ***“obedience to the faith***

***among all nations, for his name...Jesus Christ***” Romans 1:5-6. Therefore, anyone who resorts to the Greek, so-called, to overthrow the AV1611 English should not only make reference to a book that he is prepared to defend as definitive in first century Greek in order to do so but also equivalent Latin and Syriac sources at least because ***“In the mouth of two or three witnesses shall every word be established”*** 2 Corinthians 13:1. The critics never do and never will, of course. See the more detailed note on this subject under ***Perfection of “the royal law...the whole law” James 2:8-10 and Wisdom of its Perfectors***.

4. They profess to be updating so-called archaic words in the 1611 Holy Bible. The words of the 1611 Holy Bible are *never* archaic because ***“The counsel of the LORD standeth for ever, the thoughts of his heart to all generations”*** Psalm 33:11. The words of the 1611 Holy Bible are instead *generic* with wide ranges of meaning<sup>6</sup>. Modern usage has either neglected those words or narrowed i.e. degenerated their ranges of meaning.
5. They fail to see that they have *no authority* to go against the 1611 Holy Bible. See *Royal Law – James 2v8* [www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/). They fail to see that having set aside ***“the king’s word”*** 2 Samuel 24:4 they are like those in the Book of Judges where ***“In those days there was no king in Israel: every man did that which was right in his own eyes”*** Judges 21:25.

In sum, the Hebrew/Aramaic/Greekolators have no authority for their work, which is itself un-scholarly because they do not have the wisdom of the King James translators. This work will reinforce those observations. See for specific illustrations:

***“The king’s high way” Numbers 20:17, 21:22 and The Official Highway Code***

***Perfection of “the royal law...the whole law” James 2:8-10 and Wisdom of its Perfectors***

For now, see how Daniel shows *in type* the authority indeed supremacy of the King James Bible.

***“The law of the Medes and Persians” Daniel 6:8, 12, 15 and the 1611 Holy Bible***

Daniel 7:1, 3-4, 17 state ***“In the first year of Belshazzar king of Babylon Daniel had a dream and visions of his head upon his bed: then he wrote the dream, and told the sum of the matters...And four great beasts came up from the sea, diverse one from another. The first was like a lion, and had eagle’s wings: I beheld till the wings thereof were plucked, and it was lifted up from the earth, and made stand upon the feet as a man, and a man’s heart was given to it...These great beasts, which are four, are four kings, which shall arise out of the earth.”***

Dr Ruckman<sup>7</sup> has explained how Persia is England in type and that Persia is England in prophecy. What is interesting about Persia is as follows.

***“PERES; Thy kingdom is divided, and given to the Medes and Persians”*** Daniel 5:28.

***“And Darius the Median took the kingdom, being about threescore and two years old”*** Daniel 5:31.

***“So this Daniel prospered in the reign of Darius, and in the reign of Cyrus the Persian”*** Daniel 6:28.

***“Then I lifted up mine eyes, and saw, and, behold, there stood before the river a ram which had two horns: and the two horns were high; but one was higher than the other, and the higher came up last”*** Daniel 8:3.

Daniel 7, 8 occur in the first and third years of King Belshazzar respectively, Daniel 7:1, 8:1. Daniel 7, 8 therefore pre-date Daniel 5, 6 and they are therefore prophetic both historically and in type.

The Medes and the Persians make up two kingdoms where the Medes are prominent first but then Persia becomes prominent and the kingdom as a whole is called Persia.

It's interesting that something the same happened to this country following the English Protestant Reformation of the 16<sup>th</sup> century. Britain existed as such but England was prominent, especially under Elizabeth 1<sup>st</sup>. Under James 1<sup>st</sup>, however, in 1603, the country as a whole becomes Great Britain, see the *Epistle Dedicatory* to the 1611 Holy Bible, and this unity is consolidated by the Act of Union in 1707 [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Acts\\_of\\_Union\\_1707](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Acts_of_Union_1707). That in part explains the wings in Daniel 7:4.

It is then interesting that Darius is called "**the Median.**" Median is middle. The King James translators wisely took a Median or middle course with their work for the sake of ordinary believers [www.jesus-is-lord.com/pref1611.htm](http://www.jesus-is-lord.com/pref1611.htm). It is as though Daniel prophesied that ahead of time. See below, this writer's emphases in **bold** throughout.

*"...we have on the one side avoided the scrupulosity of the Puritans, who leave the old Ecclesiastical words, and betake them to other, as when they put WASHING for BAPTISM, and CONGREGATION instead of CHURCH: as also on the other side we have shunned the obscurity of the Papists, in their AZIMES, TUNIKE, RATIONAL, HOLOCAUSTS, PRAEPUCE, PASCHE, and a number of such like, whereof their late Translation is full, and that of purpose to darken the sense, that since they must needs translate the Bible, yet by the language thereof, it may be kept from being understood. But we desire that the Scripture may speak like itself, as in the language of Canaan, that it may be understood even of the very vulgar."*

In turn, the following scriptures from Daniel 6 are instructive. Dr Ruckman explains the typology in commenting on Daniel 6:2 in the *Ruckman Reference Bible* p 1147 and in his taped study on Daniel 6 with King Darius typifying God the Father and Daniel the Son of God the Lord Jesus Christ. That typology is vital for what follows.

These are the key scriptures.

**"All the presidents of the kingdom, the governors, and the princes, the counsellors, and the captains, have consulted together to establish a royal statute, and to make a firm decree, that whosoever shall ask a petition of any God or man for thirty days, save of thee, O king, he shall be cast into the den of lions. Now, O king, establish the decree, and sign the writing, that it be not changed, according to the law of the Medes and Persians, which altereth not. Wherefore king Darius signed the writing and the decree"** Daniel 6:7-9.

**"Then they came near, and spake before the king concerning the king's decree; Hast thou not signed a decree, that every man that shall ask a petition of any God or man within thirty days, save of thee, O king, shall be cast into the den of lions? The king answered and said, The thing is true, according to the law of the Medes and Persians, which altereth not"** Daniel 6:12.

**"Then the king, when he heard these words, was sore displeased with himself, and set his heart on Daniel to deliver him: and he laboured till the going down of the sun to deliver him. Then these men assembled unto the king, and said unto the king, Know, O king, that the law of the Medes and Persians is, That no decree nor statute which the king establisheth may be changed"** Daniel 6:14-15.

Based on the typology that Dr Ruckman has explained for Daniel 6, 7:4 **"the law of the Medes and Persians"** Daniel 6:8, 12, 15 in its authority indeed supremacy in ancient Persia matches in type the 1611 Holy Bible, in English (Medes) for Great Britain and her Empire (Persians), as will be shown.

**"The law of the Medes and Persians"** does of course tend to be viewed negatively because it was used to condemn Daniel. The 1611 Holy Bible is however often viewed negatively because it cuts men open, both saved and unsaved, and reveals what is inside i.e. it condemns them.



***“For the word of God is quick, and powerful, and sharper than any twoedged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit, and of the joints and marrow, and is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart. Neither is there any creature that is not manifest in his sight: but all things are naked and opened unto the eyes of him with whom we have to do”*** Hebrews 4:12-13.

Note these scriptures for ***“the law of the Medes and Persians”*** and the typology of Daniel 6.

***“Then said Daniel unto the king, O king, live for ever. My God hath sent his angel, and hath shut the lions’ mouths, that they have not hurt me: forasmuch as before him innocency was found in me; and also before thee, O king, have I done no hurt”*** Daniel 6:21-22.

***“Him, being delivered by the determinate counsel and foreknowledge of God, ye have taken, and by wicked hands have crucified and slain: Whom God hath raised up, having loosed the pains of death: because it was not possible that he should be holden of it”*** Acts 2:23-24.

***“For he hath made him to be sin for us, who knew no sin; that we might be made the righteousness of God in him”*** 2 Corinthians 5:21.

It then follows:

1. Daniel was condemned by wicked men, Daniel 6:4-5. So was the Lord Jesus Christ, Acts 2:23.
2. ***“The law of the Medes and Persians”*** was used to condemn Daniel, Daniel 6:14-15. In a sense, scripture itself, as the 1611 Holy Bible records, sent the Lord Jesus Christ to Calvary, Acts 2:23.
3. Daniel was innocent as was the Lord Jesus Christ, Daniel 6:22, Acts 2:24, 2 Corinthians 5:21.
4. Darius’ decree was actually fulfilled by Daniel’s condemnation, Daniel 6:7, 16. The scripture was fulfilled by the Lord’s crucifixion, as the 1611 Holy Bible records, Acts 3:18, 13:25, 27.
5. ***“The law of the Medes and Persians”*** could not be altered though it could be added to but only the king could officially add to it, Daniel 6:7-9, as ***“a royal statute”*** Daniel 6:7 with Ecclesiastes 8:4 ***“Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?”*** Noting again that Darius is a type of God the Father, the Lord our King, Isaiah 33:22, can add to His words. ***“Then took Jeremiah another roll, and gave it to Baruch the scribe, the son of Neriah; who wrote therein from the mouth of Jeremiah all the words of the book which Jehoiakim king of Judah had burned in the fire: and there were added besides unto them many like words”*** Jeremiah 36:32.
6. Therefore, just as ***“the law of the Medes and Persians”*** was added to without alteration, the 1611 Holy Bible has been added to in successive editions e.g. ***“not”*** in Ezekiel 24:7 for correction of an omission, ***“of God”*** in 1 John 5:12 for completeness. However, these are not actual alterations. See ‘O Biblios’ – The Book pp 181-187 [www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/) for a detailed listing of additions and/or amendments to the 1611 Holy Bible without alteration between 1611 and 2011+ with explanatory notes.
7. ***“The law of the Medes and Persians”*** occurs three times in Daniel 6, Daniel 6:8, 12, 15. It is interesting that the additions without alteration and/or other slight amendments to the 1611 Holy Bible occurred under three kings, 1612 James 1<sup>st</sup>, 1629 and 1638 Charles 1<sup>st</sup>, 1762 and 1769 George 3<sup>rd</sup>.

See *The purification of the Lord’s word – Psalm 12v6-7* [www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/).

8. Once King Darius had signed the decree, he could *not* revoke it, Daniel 6:14-15. He was under ***“the law of the Medes and Persians, which altereth not”*** Daniel 6:8, 12 just as any of his subjects. This is Britain’s Constitutional position, in spite of the illegality of EU membership, sharia courts and ungodly, anti-Biblical enactments by Westminster usually on behalf of the Vatican via Brussels. See [www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/) Royal Law – James 2v8.

The lion’s wings have been plucked off, Daniel 7:4 but this writer believes in this nation’s eventual deliverance according to Daniel 7:12 ***“As concerning the rest of the beasts, they had their dominion taken away: yet their lives were prolonged for a season and time.”***

This nation’s Constitutional position as typified by ***“the law of the Medes and Persians, which altereth not”*** Daniel 6:8, 12 is as follows.

*“Throughout the document [Magna Carta] it is implied that here is a law which is above the King and which even he must not break. This reaffirmation of a supreme law and its expression in a general charter is the great work of Magna Carta. The underlying idea of the sovereignty of law, long existent in feudal custom, was raised by it into a doctrine for the national State. And when in subsequent ages the State, swollen with its own authority, has attempted to ride roughshod over the rights or liberties of the subject it is to this doctrine that appeal has again and again been made, and never, as yet, without success”* A History of the English Speaking Peoples, Volume 1, The Birth of Britain by Winston S. Churchill, pp 201ff.

Churchill’s analysis matches the terms and conditions of the Coronation Oath. The Oath is sealed with the King James Bible<sup>8</sup>, presented to the monarch. The presenter at Queen Elizabeth II’s Coronation was the Moderator of the Church of Scotland, with these words. *“Our gracious Queen: to keep your Majesty ever mindful of the Law and the Gospel of God as the Rule for the whole life and government of Christian Princes, we present you with this Book, the most valuable thing that this world affords. Here is Wisdom [Revelation 13:18]; This is the royal Law [James 2:8]; These are the lively Oracles of God [Acts 7:38, Romans 3:2, Hebrews 5:12, 1 Peter 4:11].”* See Royal Law – James 2v8 [www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/).

The Coronation Oath ensures that Her Majesty’s entire realm is under the 1611 Holy Bible. ***“I will worship toward thy holy temple, and praise thy name for thy lovingkindness and for thy truth: for thou hast magnified thy word above all thy name”*** Psalm 138:2 just as Darius’ entire realm was under ***“the law of the Medes and Persians”*** Daniel 6:8, 12, 15.

9. Each and every part of ***“the law of the Medes and Persians, which altereth not”*** Daniel 6:8, 12 was ***“a royal statute”*** Daniel 6:7 with Ecclesiastes 8:4 ***“Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?”***. King Darius and all his subjects were under it according the following royal statute of ***“the law of the Medes and Persians, which altereth not”*** Daniel 6:8, 12 as Darius’ further royal decree shows.

***“Then king Darius wrote unto all people, nations, and languages, that dwell in all the earth; Peace be multiplied unto you. I make a decree, That in every dominion of my kingdom men tremble and fear before the God of Daniel: for he is the living God, and stedfast for ever, and his kingdom that which shall not be destroyed, and his dominion shall be even unto the end”*** Daniel 6:25-26.

10. Further to point 9, each and every part of ***“the law of the Medes and Persians, which altereth not”*** Daniel 6:8, 12 as ***“a royal statute”*** Daniel 6:7 with Ecclesiastes 8:4 ***“Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?”*** typifies the 1611 Holy Bible that is ***“the royal law...the whole law”*** James 2:8-10 for all the English-speaking peoples\*. See point 8 and the citation from Royal Law – James 2v8.

\*Including the United States [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George\\_Washington\\_Inaugural\\_Bible](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Washington_Inaugural_Bible)

11. Note then the fate of those who misused ***“the law of the Medes and Persians, which altereth not”*** Daniel 6:8, 12 as ***“a royal statute”*** Daniel 6:7 with Ecclesiastes 8:4 ***“Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?”*** for their own ends and thereby considered themselves above it. They then fell foul of ***“the law of the Medes and Persians, which altereth not”*** Daniel 6:8, 12 as ***“a royal statute”*** Daniel 6:7 with Ecclesiastes 8:4 ***“Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?”*** according to the king’s decree.

***“And the king commanded, and they brought those men which had accused Daniel, and they cast them into the den of lions, them, their children, and their wives; and the lions had the mastery of them, and brake all their bones in pieces or ever they came at the bottom of the den”*** Daniel 6:24.

12. Given that each and every part of ***“the law of the Medes and Persians, which altereth not”*** Daniel 6:8, 12 as ***“a royal statute”*** Daniel 6:7 with Ecclesiastes 8:4 ***“Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?”*** typifies the 1611 Holy Bible that is ***“the royal law...the whole law”*** James 2:8-10 for all the English-speaking peoples, including those of the USA, the lesson is simple.

Don’t mess with the Book. Remember Daniel 6:24 ***“and the lions had the mastery of them.”***

In sum, the scripture *in type* through the Book of Daniel reveals the authority indeed supremacy of the King James Bible ***“the king’s word”*** 2 Samuel 24:4. *It cannot lawfully be contravened.*


***“The fear of a king is as the roaring of a lion: whoso provoketh him to anger sinneth against his own soul”*** Proverbs 20:2.

### ***“The king’s high way” Numbers 20:17, 21:22 and The Official Highway Code***

For illustration of ***“the royal law...the whole law”*** James 2:8-10, note *The Official Highway Code* with respect to the authority indeed supremacy of the King James Bible ***“the king’s word”*** 2 Samuel 24:4.

It’s been added to over the years but then so was the scripture with the writing of the Old and then the New Testaments. However, once published, it is fixed because *The Official Highway Code* is compiled by the Department for Transport, Driving Standards Agency and published by HMSO Her Majesty’s Stationery Office. Therefore:

1. At any one time only one code exists that is *The Official Highway Code*.
2. No-one has any authority to set up various versions of *The Official Highway Code* that differ from and even contradict one another.
3. No-one has any authority to pick and choose what they will or will not believe in *The Official Highway Code*.
4. No-one has any authority to make changes to *The Official Highway Code*.
5. No-one has any authority to encourage others to make unauthorized changes to *The Official Highway Code*.





In passing, note that the same is true for any set of standards that govern professional practice in any field of professional activity e.g. building regulations, food standards, health and safety at work, broadcasting standards, weights and measures etc. If flouted, the culprit is liable for prosecution.

Violation of any of the points 1-5 above on *The Official Highway Code* would be a criminal offence.

See [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The\\_Highway\\_Code](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Highway_Code). *The Official Highway Code* is arguably the second most important book in Britain yet it is the writing of men. So why do Christian fundamentalists think that they can violate points 1 to 5 above for the 1611 Holy Bible which is ***“the writing of God”*** Exodus 32:16 and ***“the king’s word”*** 2 Samuel 24:4?

Stand by therefore for a lot of profound and far-reaching perception changes when the Lord comes back. ***“For I am the LORD: I will speak, and the word that I shall speak shall come to pass; it shall be no more prolonged: for in your days, O rebellious house, will I say the word, and will perform it, saith the Lord GOD”*** Ezekiel 12:25.

Finally with respect to the authority indeed supremacy of the King James Bible, consider the wisdom of the men who perfected ***“the royal law...the whole law”*** James 2:8-10.



***The 1611 King James Bible - Title Page  
(Newe Testament)***

### ***Perfection of “the royal law...the whole law” James 2:8-10 and Wisdom of its Perfectors***

The adjacent title page reads, this writer’s emphases, *The New Testament of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ Newly Translated out of the Original Greek: and with the former Translations diligently compared and revised, by his Majesty’s special Commandment.*

In God’s wisdom, the wording shows that whatever the King James translators prepared from their Greek sources, these were not the over-arching authority for their work. The former translations i.e. vernacular Bibles were, not only English but also foreign, as John Selden notes in *Table Talk* cited first by Scrivener in *The Authorized Edition of the English Bible (1611) Its Subsequent Reprints and Modern Representatives* p 140. See *In Awe of Thy Word* p 539, author’s emphases.

*“The translation in King James’ time took an excellent way. That part of the Bible was given to him who was most excellent in such a tongue and then they met together, and one read the translation, the rest holding in their hands some Bible, either of the learned tongues [Greek, Hebrew, Latin], or French, Italian, Spanish &c [and other languages]. If they found any fault, they spoke; if not, he read on.”*

As indicated, no-one can legitimately criticise the King James Bible simply by means of the Greek so-called.



If the critic thinks his efforts are to be perceived as superior to those of the King James translators he must at least be as painstaking as they were *and in addition to the ancient language sources check all the vernacular Bibles that they did before he can pass judgement on their work.*

Whenever any critic uses the Greek so-called, it's almost always a swipe at the work of the King James translators. However, the very title page of their New Testament shows that you can't do that. You must at least consult all the references that they did. The Greek so-called does not have any special authority over the former translations that the King James translators used.

No-one can therefore reasonably say '*In the Greek, it really says etc.*' To be consistent in putting their own efforts above those of the King James translators critics would in effect have to say In the Greek, Latin, Syriac, Gothic, German, French, Italian, Spanish, Dutch, Polish etc. (note the words ***and other languages***) and the former Anglo-Saxon and English translations it really says etc. with explicit reasons given why the King James translators passage under study should be overturned.

Anything less is unscholarly.

### **Conclusion**

Ecclesiastes 8:4 ***"Where the word of a king is, there is power: and who may say unto him, What doest thou?"***

## “The Royal Law” James 2:8



“The Royal Law” James 2:8



The Queen Enthroned with “The Royal Law”

### British Governance

British governance is embodied in the Coronation Oath<sup>9</sup>. Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II undertook the Oath when she was crowned. David Gardner<sup>10</sup> explains the significance of the Oath.


*“When the Sovereign is crowned, he or she is required to place one hand on the open Bible, and is then required to take a solemn oath before Almighty God ‘to uphold to the utmost of my power, the Laws of God within the Realm, and the true profession of the Christian Gospel.’ Parliament, through its peers, pledges itself to support the sovereign in this. This is the British position constitutionally.”*

It still is, as shown below, regardless of how much it has been violated in practice or by whom.

### The Coronation Oath

The monarch-to-be is seated upon the Chair of Estate in Westminster Abbey. The Archbishop of Canterbury gives the Coronation Oath for the monarch’s enthronement. The Oath states in part:

**Archbishop:** *Will you to the utmost of your power maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel? Will you to the utmost of your power maintain in the United Kingdom the Protestant Reformed Religion established by law? Will you maintain and preserve inviolably the settlement of the Church of England, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof as by law established in England? And will you reserve unto the Bishops and Clergy of England, and to the Churches there committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges, as by law do or shall appertain to them of any of them?*



The Coronation Bible and Title Page

**Queen:** *“All this I promise to do.”*

The Oath is sealed with the King James Bible<sup>11</sup>, presented to the monarch. The presenter at Queen Elizabeth II’s Coronation was the Moderator of the Church of Scotland, with these words. *“Our gracious Queen: to keep your Majesty ever mindful of the Law and the Gospel of God as the Rule for the whole life and government of Christian Princes, we present you with this Book, the most valuable thing that this world affords. Here is Wisdom [Revelation 13:18]; This is the royal Law [James 2:8]; These are the lively Oracles of God [Acts 7:38, Romans 3:2, Hebrews 5:12, 1 Peter 4:11].”*

The King James Bible used for the Coronation contains the Apocrypha but the Apocrypha is *not* part of “the royal law.” See figure **The Coronation Bible and Title Page**.

### ***“The Royal Law”***

James 2:8 states ***“If ye fulfil the royal law according to the scripture, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself, ye do well:”*** ***“The royal law”*** and ***“the scripture”*** are each ***“the whole law”*** James 2:10 and the Coronation Oath is unequivocal that the King James Bible is ***“the royal law”*** for ***“the Rule for the whole life and government of”*** Her Majesty and her subjects. In turn, nothing is above the King James Bible ***“for thou hast magnified thy word above all thy name”*** Psalm 138:2.

***“The royal law”*** states in Numbers 15:16\* with respect to Great Britain and the Old Dominions that:

***“One law and one manner shall be for you, and for the stranger that sojourneth with you.”***

\*To Israel first but not rescinded for other nations by Paul, the author of specific Christian doctrine

Numbers 15:16 means that for governance of Britain’s inhabitants by ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611:

- Criticism of the ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Hostility towards Israel and/or the Jewish people is treason against God and the Crown.
- Catholicism by its hatred of ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Britain’s membership of the papal European Union is treason against God and the Crown.
- Entry of foreigners alien to ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 is treason against God and the Crown.
- Mohammedanism and all non-Biblical religions are treason against God and the Crown.
- Secular belief systems e.g. Darwinism, Marxism etc. are treason against God and the Crown.
- ***“Whoremongers...them that defile themselves with mankind...menstealers...liars...perjured persons”*** 1 Timothy 1:10 ***“and all that do unrighteously, are an abomination unto the LORD thy God”*** Deuteronomy 25:16 and traitors to ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611, God and the Crown.

The Coronation Oath has been repeatedly violated since the Coronation and it still is. However, as Rev Gardner states, the Oath is ***“a solemn oath before Almighty God”*** so God the Offended Party must punish the violators.

### **God the Offended Party**

Men in scripture are likened to trees. ***“And he looked up, and said, I see men as trees, walking”*** Mark 8:24.

God promises a judgement by fire in the End Times. ***“And I will send a fire on Magog, and among them that dwell carelessly in the isles: and they shall know that I am the LORD”*** Ezekiel 39:6.



**The Fire of Jeremiah**

***“The isles”*** and ***“trees, walking”*** are easily identified.

Jeremiah 21:14 is therefore a grim warning for Britain.

***“...I will punish you according to the fruit of your doings, saith the LORD: and I will kindle a fire in the forest thereof, and it shall devour all things round about...”***

Proverbs 13:13 is a further warning, though with ***“mercy...against judgment”*** James 2:13: ***“Whoso despiseth the word shall be destroyed: but he that feareth the commandment shall be rewarded.”***

Britain *must* therefore regain her only firebreak ***“the royal law”*** the AV1611 to receive mercy when God’s End Times judgement by fire finally descends ***“that the whole nation perish not”*** John 11:50.



## God's Standard

***"My words shall not pass away"*** Matthew 24:35, Mark 13:31, Luke 21:33

Critics often first attack the AV1611 by accusing it of being archaic because words have 'changed their meaning' and need to be updated by the modern versions. That is a lie. Biblical words have not 'changed their meaning.' The Lord Jesus Christ said that cannot happen, Matthew 24:35, Mark 13:31, Luke 21:33. Biblical words have a range of meanings as Benjamin Wilkinson has shown. See:



[kiv.benabraham.com/html/chapter-5.html](http://kiv.benabraham.com/html/chapter-5.html)

*Our Authorized Bible Vindicated Chapter 5 The King James Bible Born Amid the Great Struggles Over the Jesuit Version*

*The English language in 1611 was in the very best condition to receive into its bosom the Old and New Testaments. Each word was broad, simple, and generic. That is to say, words were capable of containing in themselves not only their central thoughts, but also all the different shades of meaning which were attached to that central thought. Since then, words have lost that living, pliable breadth. For examples see:*

[www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php) *Twist and Curl - Your Fiend-ly\* Neighbourhood Bible Correctors* pp 63-64, 87, 89. \*Not a misspelling.

- ***"conversation"*** means "conduct" Philippians 1:27, "behaviour" I Peter 3:1, "citizenship" Philippians 3:20 NASVs, NIVs, NKJV *but also that which is heard i.e. speech as well as seen, as with "Lot, vexed with the filthy conversation of the wicked: (For that righteous man dwelling among them, in seeing and hearing, vexed his righteous soul from day to day with their unlawful deeds;)"* 2 Peter 2:7-8.
- ***"prevent"*** means "comes before" Psalms 88:13, "precede" I Thessalonians 4:15 NASVs, NIVs, NKJV *but also beset by trouble on all sides like David. "The sorrows of hell compassed me about; the snares of death prevented me"* 2 Samuel 22:6.
- ***"quicken"*** Romans 8:11 means "give life to" NASVs, NIVs, NKJV *but also to be risen from the dead with Christ to die no more, as Paul explains "Knowing that Christ being raised from the dead dieth no more; death hath no more dominion over him"* Romans 6:9 *and therefore "he that raised up Christ from the dead shall also quicken your mortal bodies by his Spirit that dwelleth in you"* Romans 8:11 *i.e. to die no more.*

The above examples are not exhaustive. See above site for many more, with more detail.

## Modern Degenerative Versions

Enough examples have nevertheless been given to show that words used in modern versions typically do not have the same breadth of meaning as the equivalent AV1611 words and that modern version editors may have to resort to two or more words in order to replace a single generic AV1611 term.

What has happened therefore is that the range of meanings of Biblical words has been arbitrarily restricted to yield, at best, only the limited, often single-meaning words of modern versions as exemplified above. Note that modern version alternatives to the equivalent 1611 Holy Bible terms are often not merely restricted in meaning but in fact *wrong* in their particular contexts. Note the following examples:



- “adequate” NASVs, “complete” NKJV, OMITTED NIVs versus **“perfect”** 2 Timothy 3:17 AV1611
- “called” NASVs, NIVs versus **“sanctified”** Jude 1 AV1611
- “excellence” NASVs, “excellent” NIVs versus **“virtue”** Philippians 4:8 AV1611

See *New Age Versions* by Gail Riplinger Chapter 9 *Men Shall Be Unholy* p 161.

The aim of restricting Biblical word meanings, which may lead to error, see above, is to discredit the 1611 Holy Bible by making it seem ‘archaic,’ when it is not, as the Lord Jesus Christ promised it never would be, Matthew 24:35, Mark 13:31, Luke 21:33. See opening remarks. It is the modern versions that are instead *degenerative* with respect to the range of meanings of their words. The restrictive operation has been carried out by men but it is satanic in its origin, in its objective and in its oversight, ever since Genesis 3:1 **“Yea, hath God said...?”** See *New Age Versions, The Language of the King James Bible, In Awe of Thy Word and Hazardous Materials* by Gail Riplinger for detailed proof **“Lest Satan should get an advantage of us: for we are not ignorant of his devices”** 2 Corinthians 2:11.

An information scientist would probably say that the modern alternatives to the AV1611 generic terms have suffered a loss of information in transmission. They have, and as Paul declares **“that which decayeth and waxeth old is ready to vanish away”** Hebrews 8:13.

**God’s Standard - “the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16

By contrast, **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16 has gone **“from strength to strength”** Psalm 84:7 in its transmission from the old languages to the English language of the pre-1611 Bibles to the 1<sup>st</sup> Edition 1611 Holy Bible to the sevenfold perfected 1611 Holy Bible. That Book became *God’s standard* in time for the world-wide missionary and revival movements of the 18<sup>th</sup>-19<sup>th</sup> centuries and running up to the Lord’s Return, which is imminent. **“Behold, the Lord cometh with ten thousands of his saints”** Jude 14. It therefore appears that God has carried out this stage-wise *supernatural* process for the perfection of **“the book of the LORD”** Isaiah 34:16 to show that *His* transmission of **“The words of the LORD”** Psalm 12:6 is *not* degenerative but *regenerative*. Observe the association between **“The words of the LORD”** Psalm 12:6 and **“the words...which the Holy Ghost teacheth; comparing spiritual things with spiritual”** 1 Corinthians 2:13. These words are indeed regenerative as the following scriptures show.

**“...Christ also loved the church, and gave himself for it; That he might sanctify and cleanse it with the washing of water by the word”** Ephesians 5:26.

**“Not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us, by the washing of regeneration, and renewing of the Holy Ghost”** Titus 3:5.

In sum **“This is the LORD’S doing; it is marvellous in our eyes”** Psalm 118:23. See:

[www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/) *The purification of the Lord’s word – Psalm 12:6-7*

[www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php) *Seven Stage Purification - Oil Refinery*

[www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/version-comparison.php](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/version-comparison.php) *The Book of the LORD*

[www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/) *AV1611 Advanced Revelations* e.g. **“pictures”** Numbers 33:52, **“synagogues”** Psalm 74:8, **“tablets”** Isaiah 3:20, **“churches”** Acts 19:37

**“Thus saith the Lord GOD, Behold, I will lift up mine hand to the Gentiles, and set up my standard to the people: and they shall bring thy sons in their arms, and thy daughters shall be carried upon their shoulders...and thou shalt know that I am the LORD: for they shall not be ashamed that wait for me”** Isaiah 49:22, 23. Finally:

**“And the Lord direct your hearts into the love of God, and into the patient waiting for Christ”** 2 Thessalonians 3:5.

## The Superiority of the 1611 Holy Bible over the Greek and the Original

A common refrain from the enemies of the 1611 Holy Bible is this:

*The AV1611 may be tolerated but it is still inferior to “the Greek” or to “the Original.”*

There are at least 8 reasons why the AV1611 is in fact superior to ‘the Greek’ - and to ‘the Original’ [[Biblical Scholarship by Dr Peter S. Ruckman Appendix 7](#)]:

1. The AV1611 uses **“synagogues”** in Psalm 74:8, instead of the Hebrew *“meeting places,”* showing that the reference is yet future, to the great tribulation.
2. The Pre-millennial order of the books from 2 Chronicles to Psalms in the AV1611 preserves the order of events in the history of Israel from the destruction of Jerusalem 70 A.D. to the Second Advent. This order is superior to that of the Hebrew Bible.
3. In an age ruled by the television, **“pictures”** in Numbers 33:52 is far superior to the original Hebrew of *“carved stones.”*
4. The AV1611 alone uses **“forces”** in Daniel 11:38 instead of the literal Hebrew *“fortresses.”* The AV1611 reading is superior because it is a reference to the use of electricity, Luke 10:18, the highest form of energy, especially in the tribulation. See Revelation 13:13. It virtually rules our lives now.
5. The AV1611 has **“churches”** in Acts 19:37, showing where heathen devoted to the **“queen of heaven”** Jeremiah 7:18, 44:17, 18, 19, 25 actually WORSHIP. This is far superior to the ‘original Greek,’ which gives *“temples.”*
6. The AV1611 has **“Easter”** in Acts 12:4 instead of the literal Greek equivalent *“Passover.”* Note that **“(Then were the days of unleavened bread.)”** Acts 12:3. The reading *“Passover”* is obviously wrong in the context. In addition, J. A. Moorman in *Conies Brass and Easter* p 13 states that it was Tyndale who *invented* the word *Passover* but Tyndale used the word **“Easter”** in Acts 12:4 in his New Testament. Tyndale, like the King James translators, understood the scriptures better than modern version editors and their supporters.
7. The tense of the Greek in Galatians 2:20 is *“I have been crucified”* but Luke 9:23 shows that a man is to take up the cross DAILY. The AV1611 reading, **“I am crucified”** is therefore both correct and superior to ‘the Greek.’
8. The AV1611 alone has **“corrupt”** in 2 Corinthians 2:17, where the ‘original Greek’ is *“peddle”* according to the modern revisers. The AV1611 is superior because it is warning you against modern Bible corrupters.

Insistence on ‘the Greek’ or ‘the original’ is really a violation of the priesthood of all believers, 1 Peter 2:5, 9 but fundamentalists do it all the time. They are what Spurgeon called *“little popelings”*!

See *The Greatest Fight in the World* [archive.spurgeon.org/misc/gfw.php](http://archive.spurgeon.org/misc/gfw.php).

The Bible calls it being **“wise in your own conceits”** Romans 11:25.

### **Additional Note: Regenerative Translations Superior to Degenerative Originals**

It should be understood that anyone who appeals to the original, so-called, or the Greek and the Hebrew, so-called and invariably undefined, over the King James English is saying that the word of God has lost information in transmission i.e. translation. Fundamentalists repeatedly say words to that effect. However, if the word of God has lost information in translation, it has degenerated. If the word of God is subject to degeneration, then anyone who appeals to the original, so-called, or the Greek and the Hebrew, so-called, over the King James English is saying that the Lord Jesus Christ lied when He said as recorded 3 times in scripture ***“Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away”*** Matthew 24:35, Mark 13:31, Luke 21:33.

In addition, your salvation is predicated on the integrity and incorruptibility of ***“the word of God”*** as Peter states ***“Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of incorruptible, by the word of God, which liveth and abideth for ever”*** 1 Peter 1:23. Anyone therefore who appeals to the original, so-called, or the Greek and the Hebrew, so-called, over the King James English is saying that the apostle Peter lied because the word of God is subject to degeneration and is therefore corruptible.

Therefore your salvation is subject to degeneration and it too is corruptible.

Further, anyone who appeals to the original, so-called, or the Greek and the Hebrew, so-called, over the King James English is also saying that the apostle James lied when he said ***“...receive with meekness the engrafted word, which is able to save your souls”*** James 1:21.

There's no point because it isn't and it won't, according to anyone who appeals to the original, so-called, or the Greek and the Hebrew, so-called, over the King James English.

That is, you don't have salvation and you can never have it, according to anyone who appeals to the original, so-called, or the Greek and the Hebrew, so-called, over the King James English.

That's about as blasphemous as it gets but fundamentalists do it all the time.

You should of course be encouraged that translation is not degenerative but is always *regenerative*, an improvement over the original in scripture:

***“So do God to Abner, and more also, except, as the LORD hath sworn to David, even so I do to him; To translate the kingdom from the house of Saul, and to set up the throne of David over Israel and over Judah, from Dan even to Beersheba”*** 2 Samuel 3:9-10.

***“Who hath delivered us from the power of darkness, and hath translated us into the kingdom of his dear Son”*** Colossians 1:13.

***“By faith Enoch was translated that he should not see death; and was not found, because God had translated him: for before his translation he had this testimony, that he pleased God”*** Hebrews 11:5.

---

## References

<sup>1</sup> [www.kjv1611.org/index.html](http://www.kjv1611.org/index.html) Products, Stickers/Magnets

<sup>2</sup> [www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/)

AV1611 Tract – Countering Bible Critics, The purification of the Lord’s word – Psalm 12v6-7, Seven Purifications of the Textus Receptus, Royal Law – James 2v8, AV1611 Advanced Revelations

[www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php)

Correcting the Greek with the King James English, Modern Christian FARCE-damentalism

[www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php)

Seven aspects of ‘the Greek’ & Seven Aspects of ‘in the Greek’, Yes the King James Bible is Perfect – A Biblical Response to Bible Critics, Seven Stage Purification Process – Oil Refinery, Propitiation – Based on reply to DiVietro’s Attack on Gail Riplinger

[www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/version-comparison.php](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/version-comparison.php)

English Reformation to Last Days Apostasy, AV1611 Authority – Absolute, The Book of the LORD – Salient Points, AV1611 Hebrews Readings vs Modern cuts – Summary Table, AV1611 vs Changing NIVs, The 1611 Holy Bible Pure versus Corrupt Manuscript Ascension, 1611, 2011 AV1611 Precision and Modern Version Impurity, The 1611 Holy Bible versus Vatican Versions for Disputed New Testament Verses

[www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/)

Manuscript Ascension, God’s Excellent Name, My Brethren and the Hebrew and the Greek

God’s Standard, Revival – A Seven Point Plan, The Sovereign Power of Darkness, The Greek vs the Scripture, Thoughts for Today, O Biblos Overview

<sup>3</sup> [www.amazon.co.uk/Official-Highway-Code-Department-Transport/dp/0115528148](http://www.amazon.co.uk/Official-Highway-Code-Department-Transport/dp/0115528148)

<sup>4</sup> [umanitoba.ca/libraries/units/archives/collections/rarebooks/bible/new\\_testament.html](http://umanitoba.ca/libraries/units/archives/collections/rarebooks/bible/new_testament.html)

<sup>5</sup> Hazardous Materials by G. A. Riplinger [www.avpublications.com/avnew/home.html](http://www.avpublications.com/avnew/home.html) pp 1097ff

<sup>6</sup> God’s Standard [www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/)

<sup>7</sup> The Book of Revelation by Dr Peter S. Ruckman pp 367-372 and Ruckman Reference Bible p 1149

<sup>8</sup> This England [petersengland.blogspot.co.uk/2012/02/coronation-of-queen-elizabeth-ii.html](http://petersengland.blogspot.co.uk/2012/02/coronation-of-queen-elizabeth-ii.html)

<sup>9</sup> [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronation\\_of\\_the\\_British\\_monarch](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronation_of_the_British_monarch), [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronation\\_of\\_Queen\\_Elizabeth\\_II](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Coronation_of_Queen_Elizabeth_II)

<sup>10</sup> The Trumpet Sounds for Britain, Volume 1 by David E. Gardner, Chapter 3, [www.electronic-bibles.co.uk/jesusalive/trumpet.html](http://www.electronic-bibles.co.uk/jesusalive/trumpet.html)

<sup>11</sup> This England [petersengland.blogspot.co.uk/2012/02/coronation-of-queen-elizabeth-ii.html](http://petersengland.blogspot.co.uk/2012/02/coronation-of-queen-elizabeth-ii.html)