

Seven Purifications of the Textus Receptus, the Received Text

Introduction

Historical Bibles, English Bibles and the 1611 Holy Bible Editions have all been shown to have undergone a seven stage purification process according to Psalm 12:6-7.

“The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever.”

See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ *The purification of the Lord’s word – Psalm 12:6-7* and also www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php *Seven Stage Purification Process – Oil Refinery – in answer to the AV1611 critics.*

The Textus Receptus or Received Text has also undergone seven purification stages according to Psalm 12:6-7, the final perfected stage being the 1611 Holy Bible, in *English*, not Greek.

This work explains these seven purification stages for the Textus Receptus or Received Text.

History of the Textus Receptus

This site is useful for information on the publication dates of the Textus Receptus and the editors.

See www.prca.org/pamphlets/pamphlet_9.html#sources. The writer says this:

Preface

The Bible is no ordinary book. It is not a human book. The Bible is God’s inspired and infallible Word - God’s Book. It is the Book which God has given to His people to teach them the Truth which they must believe and the godly life which they must live. That is why the Bible is so important for every believer. Without the Holy Scriptures the believer has no Word of God. He has no standard of what is the Truth and what is the lie, what is righteous and what is wicked.

Does this mean that the 1611 Holy Bible is **“all scripture”** that **“is given by inspiration of God”** 2 Timothy 3:16 according to that author? No. Nowhere does the author actually identify any inspired Bible. However, he provides this information.

The Greek text was readily available in the Complutensian Polyglot (1514), the five editions of Erasmus (1516-1535), the four editions of Robert Stephanus (1546-1551), and the ten editions of Theodore Beza (1560-1598). They also consulted the editions of Aldus (1518), Colinaeus (1534), and Plantin (1572).

Christopher Plantin published the Antwerp Polyglot en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Plantin_Polyglot.

Peter Heisey, USA missionary to Romania, confirms that the King James translators specifically consulted the edition of Aldus as one of their sources for the Textus Receptus. See *Waiting for Waite* www.scribd.com/document/45876004/Waiting-for-Dr-Waite-Letter-Size.

Another useful site is this www.monergism.com/thethreshold/sdg/vincent_textualcriticism.html though the author Dr Marvin Vincent of Union Theological Seminary 1899 was not a Bible believer* and rejected the Received Text, as the site shows. That is beside the point, though, because Vincent’s work includes a detailed history of the editions of the Textus Receptus.

*As an aside, the sheep-fleecers are still out there as Matthew 7:15 shows. **“Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep’s clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves.”** This site www.bereaninternetministry.org/King%20James%20Bible.html appears supportive of the 1611 Holy Bible, especially with its graphics - see figure - until the writer refers with approval to the stance of Dr Donald Waite of the Dean Burgon Society www.deanburgonsociety.org/ on the 1611 Holy Bible. Unsurprisingly the writer then *disparages* the names which are below every name for this crowd who profess to believe the 1611 Holy Bible but don’t believe it; Ruckman and Riplinger, who profess to believe the 1611 Holy Bible *and do believe it*. The writer, who is obviously a Waite-ite, of course has no Bible that is all scripture given by inspiration of God. The ministry’s Constitution

www.bereaninternetministry.org/Church.html states that *We believe that the Bible is the inerrant, infallible, verbally inspired, equally inspired, eternal Word of God...This assembly will not allow any Bible to be used in the pulpit or teaching ministry other than the authorized King James Version.* However, nowhere does the Constitution state that the 1611 Holy Bible is **“all scripture”** that **“is given by inspiration of God”** 2 Timothy 3:16. Hal Lindsey in *Satan is Alive and Well on Planet Earth* p 80 says that the Devil will use a lake of truth to disguise a pint of poison. See *Postscript – How the Poison is Spread*. The Waite-ites are similar and more dangerous than Bible rejecters like Marvin Vincent. Vincent overtly rejected the Received Text and in turn rejected the 1611 Holy Bible but the Waite-ites are more deadly. They covertly sap faith in the 1611 Holy Bible as **“the pure words...of the LORD”** Psalm 12:6 because they do what **“what the ancients of the house of Israel do in the dark, every man in the chambers of his imagery”** Ezekiel 8:12 in that they insist that they have the pure Bible in Hebrew/Aramaic/Greek but as Nehemiah rebuked the enemies of Israel **“There are no such things done as thou sayest, but thou feignest them out of thine own heart”** Nehemiah 6:8. See www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/james-white-dr-divietro-and-dawaite.php D. A. Waite Response and Reply to DiVietro’s attack on Gail Riplinger - Flotsam Flush. Getting back to Vincent’s work, he states this about Aldus’ Edition and the Complutensian Polyglot.

Although the emperor had protected Erasmus’s first edition against reprint for four years, it was reproduced by Aldus Manutius, with some variations, but with...most of the typographical errors, at Venice, in 1518. It was placed at the end of the Græca Biblia, the Aldine Septuagint...

The printing of the entire work was completed on the 10th of July, 1517. But though the first printed, this was not the first published edition of the Greek Testament. Pope Leo X withheld his approval until 1520, and the work was not issued until 1522, three years after the cardinal’s [Ximenes] death, and six years after the publication of Erasmus’s Testament. The entire cost was about \$115,000, and only six hundred copies were printed.

This work is known as the Complutensian Polyglot...

Vincent of course lists the Elzevir Editions beginning in 1624 and including the 1633 Edition from which the term Textus Receptus is obtained.

The 1611 Holy Bible, the Perfect Textus Receptus

Dr Hills makes this insightful comment. See [standardbearers.net/uploads/The King James Version Defended Dr Edward F Hill s.pdf](http://standardbearers.net/uploads/The_King_James_Version_Defended_Dr_Edward_F_Hill_s.pdf) Chapter 8 and printed edition p 220.

...the King James Version ought to be regarded not merely as a translation of the Textus Receptus but also as an independent variety of the Textus Receptus

This writer believes that the 1611 Holy Bible is both an independent variety of the Textus Receptus and the authoritative, perfect final version of the Textus Receptus on the basis of the sevenfold purification process that Psalm 12:6-7 set out and is observed in the history of the Textus Receptus.

The Seven Stage Purification of the Textus Receptus

The pre-1611 editions of the Received Text may reasonably be listed as follows, combining the individual editions of each editor. The Elzevir editions are set aside because they are post-1611.

1. Erasmus/Aldus 1516-1535, 1518 – Aldus being mainly a reproduction of Erasmus’ 1st Edition
2. Ximenes/Stuncia/Complutensian 1522
3. Colinaeus 1534
4. Stephanus 1546-1551
5. Beza 1560-1598
6. Plantin/Antwerp
7. 1611 Authorized King James Holy Bible

Conclusions may be drawn from the above list that in certain respects would horrify the Waite-ites, as least by profession. Like Saul with Stephen they, like all critics of the 1611 Holy Bible, know they're wrong by means of the witness of ***“the true Light, which lighteth every man that cometh into the world”*** John 1:9 but they don't want to be put out of the synagogue, aka self-styled (Nehemiah 6:8) OOOOO – Origenistic Order of Obstinate Originals-Onlyists John 3:19, 9:22, Acts 7:58, 8:1-3, 22:19-20. They therefore will not submit to 2 Corinthians 4:1-2. ***“Therefore seeing we have this ministry, as we have received mercy, we faint not; But have renounced the hidden things of dishonesty, not walking in craftiness, nor handling the word of God deceitfully; but by manifestation of the truth commending ourselves to every man's conscience in the sight of God.”***

The historical languages Bibles, the English Bibles up to 1611 and the King James Bible Editions all fulfill Psalm 12:6-7 with respect to ***“The words of the LORD”*** Psalm 12:6. As shown, history shows that the Textus Receptus likewise follows a seven stage purification process as Psalm 12:6-7 set out ***but its final perfected inspired form is in English, not Greek and is the 1611 Holy Bible.*** Therefore:

Conclusions

1. Rome i.e. Ximenes etc. is relegated to a stage in the Textus Receptus purification process. Rome is not allowed ***“to have the preeminence among them”*** 3 John 9. God has superseded Rome's single contribution to the purification process.
2. The pre-1611 Textus Receptus editors are not allowed ***“to have the preeminence among them”*** 3 John 9. God has superseded their contributions.
3. The Greek, so-called, is not allowed ***“to have the preeminence among them”*** 3 John 9. God has superseded the Greek, so-called, with the 1611 Holy Bible *English*. That would make the Waite-ites etc. howl ***and that is God's way of revealing them for what they are because sheep don't howl. Wolves do.*** See remarks on Matthew 7:15 above.
4. The post-1611 Textus Receptus editors are not allowed ***“to have the preeminence among them”*** 3 John 9 because *God* determined how His Received New Testament Text would progress *before* the year 1624. The post-1611 editors contributed a name. It has stuck and is useful but that is all. However, every post-1611 scholar against the *inspired* 1611 Holy Bible has as ***“his heart's desire”*** Psalm 10:3 ***“let us make a name”*** Genesis 11:4 *for himself, even if he has to do it by means of the Devil's lake of truth/pint of poison.* See ***Postscript.***
5. The 1611 Holy Bible is ***“the word of a king”*** Ecclesiastes 8:4 in *English*. It can be turned into 1st century Greek by reverse translation but the result is not the original nor is it authoritative because ***“God is finished with it.”*** See *In Awe of Thy Word* p 956. It would simply picture the original for specialist studies, with no power at all.
6. The 1611 Holy Bible *in English* is the language of the End Times. See *In Awe of Thy Word* pp 19ff. Any language may have ***“the words of the LORD”*** Psalm 12:6 if ***“It is turned as clay to the seal”*** Job 38:14 of the 1611 Holy Bible that should be the standard for all non-English translations. See purebiblepress.com/bible/ and *A Brief Analysis of Missionary Authority* by Jonathan Richmond *Bible Believer's Bulletin* August 2013 p 6. That is a further blessing from the Author of the 1611 Holy Bible in addition to superseding the Greek so-called.
7. If that is how God perceives His sevenfold purified Textus Receptus today, the sevenfold purified 1611 Holy Bible, as this writer believes that He has, then all would-be 1611 Holy Bible clarifiers, correctors, improvers etc. by means of the Greek, so-called, should pay careful attention to the following warning from a *king*, no less. Cruel and unusual punishments are no more where the 1611 Holy Bible has held sway but an offender still fossicking ***“for words buried in haunted Greek graveyards”*** *In Awe of Thy Word* p 544, can still be hung out to dry and his ministry still downgraded by the Offended Party into ***“the dross of silver”*** Ezekiel 22:18 and ***“the refuse of the wheat”*** Amos 8:6. ***“The word of a king”*** Ecclesiastes 8:4 follows.

Ezra 6:11: ***“Also I have made a decree, that whosoever shall alter this word, let timber be pulled down from his house, and being set up, let him be hanged thereon; and let his house be made a dunghill for this.”***

Postscript – How the Poison is Spread

www.bereaninternetministry.org/King%20James%20Bible.html item by Pastor Kelly Sensenig

First comes *the differentiation* between pure and corrupt scripture sources, presented with vivid and indeed helpful graphics. Who could doubt the presenters? *“No doubt but ye are the people, and wisdom shall die with you”* Job 12:2.



Then comes *the declaration*: *This assembly will not allow any Bible to be used in the pulpit or teaching ministry other than the authorized King James Version.* Who could doubt the declarers?

Followed by *the disclaimer* and *the denial*, emphases in original, this writer's remarks in braces []:

...we must also reject the teaching of those "KJV-only" proponents (Peter Ruckman and Gail Riplinger) who claim that the English of the KJV is inspired and superior to the underlying Hebrew and Greek texts of the KJV. This is an erroneous position and error that is rejected by most loyal King James followers, Dr. Waite, being one of them, who stated: "God Himself did not 'breathe out' English, or German, or French, or Spanish, or Latin, or Italian. He did 'breathe out' Hebrew/Aramaic, and Greek" (Waite, *Defending the King James Bible*, p. 246). Of course, Dr. Waite is not saying that our English King James Version lacks inspiration [he is], what he is referring to is that...[no-one] can one claim that every word in the English of the KJV is inspired in the same way, as the autographs (without flaw and error) [Did not the Holy Ghost give the word of God at first in the mother-tongue of the nations to whom it was addressed? Why do you speak against the Holy Ghost? – John Wycliffe, *John Wycliffe: The Dawn of the Reformation* pp 45-46], or the descendent manuscripts in the original Hebrew and Greek text, which also preserve the inspired text [unidentified]. **The English does not correct the languages; the languages correct the English** [the 1611 Holy Bible lacks inspiration]. In a similar way, **the Greek at times corrects the translators** [the 1611 Holy Bible lacks inspiration]; **the translators do not correct the Greek** [the 1611 Holy Bible lacks inspiration]...Inspiration and preservation specifically applies to the Hebrew and Greek texts - not a certain type of English language [the 1611 Holy Bible lacks inspiration]. Think of it this way; if the 1611 King James Bible with its English was the only inspired Bible, then those versions before 1611 (Tyndale's English version and all other Bible versions with a Received Text base) were not God's Word and the Church did not possess the truth until 1611. Those living in 1610 did not have the Bible. This is a rather silly and unlearned position [the same must apply to the Textus Receptus Editions in the figure. The writer ignores this]...As stated previously, **the Greek corrects the English, the English does not correct the Greek** [which Greek edition?]. In spite of the conclusions of the King James Only Movement, there is no such thing as double inspiration (the translators of the 1611 King James Version were inspired and the English of the King James Version is inspired) [See Isaiah 53:7/Acts 8:32]. However, we do believe that...we possess an inspired Bible that has been accurately copied and passed down to us through the transmission process [Bible unidentified].

Thereby *the deceivers* (supposedly indubitable) *dupe* the victims who are as "**children, tossed to and fro...by the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive**" Ephesians 4:14. A shock awaits the deceivers who forsook "**the word of a king**" Ecclesiastes 8:4. At "**the judgment seat of Christ**" Romans 14:10 "**their folly shall be manifest unto all men**" 2 Timothy 3:9.