

Citizens, Brass, Wrong Doing, Right Words

Explanatory Note

This work brings together some disparate items that contribute to a unifying theme, namely Psalm 119:104 **"Through thy precepts I get understanding: therefore I hate every false way."**

The studies embedded in this work in order to illustrate the above theme are:

Citizens

The Biblical description of **"citizen"** shows that today's believer is *not* to be 'a global citizen' of the devil's emerging one-world kingdom of Revelation 13:3-4 **"...and all the world wondered after the beast. And they worshipped the dragon which gave power unto the beast: and they worshipped the beast, saying, Who is like unto the beast? who is able to make war with him?"**

Brass

The Biblical description of **"brass"** shows that the modern alteration is *historically* wrong.

Wrong Doing, Right Words

The three studies grouped under the above headings should prompt some heart-searching for *anyone* who professes to have **"received...the love of the truth"** 2 Thessalonians 2:10 yet even *condones* modern versions, let alone *supports* and *promotes* them.

Citizens

The term **"citizen"** occurs in Luke 15:15, 19:14, Acts 21:39 and in Ephesians 2:19 as **"fellowcitizens."**

Acts 21:39 **"But Paul said, I am a man which am a Jew of Tarsus, a city in Cilicia, a citizen of no mean city: and, I beseech thee, suffer me to speak unto the people"** is key in that it defines the term **"citizen"** as used in scripture as a *city denizen* or *city dweller*.

The references in Luke 15:15, 19:14 would therefore apply to individuals who lived in **"cities"** Luke 19:17, 19 - though the definition could refer to a dweller of a country, Luke 15:15, like a US citizen.

Ephesians 2:19 **"Now therefore ye are no more strangers and foreigners, but fellowcitizens with the saints, and of the household of God"** is typified by Acts 21:39 insofar as today's believer can look forward to the fulfilment of Hebrews 12:22, 13:14, Revelation 21:2-3 **"But ye are come unto mount Sion, and unto the city of the living God, the heavenly Jerusalem, and to an innumerable company of angels...For here have we no continuing city, but we seek one to come...And I John saw the holy city, new Jerusalem, coming down from God out of heaven, prepared as a bride adorned for her husband. And I heard a great voice out of heaven saying, Behold, the tabernacle of God is with men, and he will dwell with them, and they shall be his people, and God himself shall be with them, and be their God."**

For now, having **"here...no continuing city"** especially in that soon **"the cities of the nations fell"** Revelation 16:19, today's believer is subject to **"the royal law"** James 2:8¹, which for every UK and Old Dominion resident is the AV1611 according to The Coronation Oath. *All* such residents are therefore *subjects* of HMQE2 under the AV1611. The condition for *all* subjects of HMQE2 now is expressed by Paul: **"Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God...Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake...Put them in mind to be subject to principalities and powers, to obey magistrates, to be ready to every good work"** Romans 13:1, 5, Titus 3:1.

A Biblical citizen via Ephesians 2:19 is of course *forever* subject to **"the Lord of glory"** James 2:1.

Brass

From www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/why-the-av-only-7434.php *The 1611 Holy Bible versus Bible Corrupter Edwin Palmer* p 15. The whole study is useful to show that it is a grave error to direct anyone to the NIV or away from the AV1611 in any respect. Palmer accuses the AV1611 of error in 194 scriptures, p 113. However, Palmer and his NIV are wrong 194 times in a row.

“But he that doeth wrong shall receive for the wrong which he hath done: and there is no respect of persons” Colossians 3:25.

13. **2 Chronicles 2:7: “brass.” Brass was not known in Solomon’s days; hence the NIV’s “bronze.”**

Palmer was kidding himself. Biblically, brass is first mentioned in pre-flood times and it is impossible to believe that for up to three millennia from then to Solomon’s time metal workers had no knowledge of that material while at the same time having detailed knowledge of another material that Palmer’s NIV calls “bronze.”

“And Zillah, she also bare Tubalcain, an instructor of every artificer in brass and iron: and the sister of Tubalcain was Naamah” Genesis 4:22.

Even the secularists know better than Palmer knew. Note the reference to Israel in what follows.

See en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brass#Early_copper_zinc_alloys and this extract.

...forms of brass have been in use since [prehistory](#)...

Early copper zinc alloys

In [West Asia](#) and the [Eastern Mediterranean](#) early copper zinc alloys are now known in small numbers from a number of third millennium BC sites in the [Aegean](#), [Iraq](#), the [United Arab Emirates](#), [Kalmykia](#), [Turkmenistan](#) and [Georgia](#) and from 2nd Millennium BC sites in [West India](#), [Uzbekistan](#), [Iran](#), [Syria](#), [Iraq](#) and [Israel](#)...However, isolated examples of copper-zinc [alloys](#) are known in [China](#) from as early as the 5th Millennium BC...

King Solomon would have known all that. Palmer forgot the wisdom that God gave Solomon.

“And God gave Solomon wisdom and understanding exceeding much, and largeness of heart, even as the sand that is on the sea shore. And Solomon’s wisdom excelled the wisdom of all the children of the east country, and all the wisdom of Egypt. For he was wiser than all men; than Ethan the Ezrahite, and Heman, and Chalcol, and Darda, the sons of Mahol: and his fame was in all nations round about” 1 Kings 4:29-31.

See also Will Kinney’s article brandplucked.webs.com/kjbarticles.htm *Is the word “brass” an error in the King James Bible?* Note that the link to Daryl Coats’ article *Straining at Brass* is now www.kjv-asia.com/bible_believing_ministries_daryl_coats_straining_at_brass.htm.

Ironically, even Palmer’s NIV uses the related word “brazen” in Proverbs 7:13, Jeremiah 3:3, Ezekiel 16:30 but by its complete elimination of the word “**brass**” by substitution of the word “bronze” the NIV fails to convey the vivid picture of “**great swelling words of vanity**” 2 Peter 2:18 when spoken as that of the brass section of an orchestra playing totally off-key and uncoordinated. No such thing as a *bronze* section of an orchestra exists.

“Though I speak with the tongues of men and of angels, and have not charity, I am become as sounding brass, or a tinkling cymbal” 1 Corinthians 13:1.

An important reason for use of the word “**brass**” in the 1611 Holy Bible is that it is thereby distinguished entirely from other metals. By inspection, Palmer’s NIV lacks that precision because bronze contains 12% tin en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bronze.

“Only the gold, and the silver, the brass, the iron, the tin, and the lead” Numbers 31:22 with Ezekiel 22:18, 20.

Wrong Doing

Modern Christian FARCE-damentalism

The TBS reneges on “Providing for honest things, not only in the sight of the Lord, but also in the sight of men” 2 Corinthians 8:21 and does a runner.



Enquiries and Requests

Please retain for your records.

Thank you for your enquiry. We acknowledge receipt of the following information:

Name: Dr Alan James O'Reilly

E-mail Address: [Alan O'Reilly](mailto:Alan.O'Reilly)

Type of Enquiry or Request: The So-Called Pure Cambridge Edition

Message:

Dear Sir Thank you for the latest Quarterly Record with the article of the above title. Mr Bridger [Brigden] makes a number of references to the original Hebrew and Greek and appears to endorse the quoted statement from the Westminster Confession to the effect that only the original Hebrew and Greek are inspired scripture and that they are the final authority for the Church. Can you therefore please tell me where the original Hebrew and Greek may be obtained today as a single document between two covers? (I am aware that various editions of the Hebrew Masoretic and Received Greek Texts exist but I am unsure which of these is agreed all round to be inspired scripture and the final authority for the Church.)

Please note that this confirmation is generated automatically. If your enquiry is raised in English, we hope to respond to you within 1 to 2 working days. For other languages, please allow longer.

Yours sincerely,

Customer Support

sales@tbsbibles.org

Trinitarian Bible Society

Tyndale House, Dorset Road,

London, SW19 3NN, England

Tel.: +44 (0) 20 8543-7857

Web site: www.tbsbibles.org

Registered Charity No.: 233082 (England) SC038379 (Scotland)

No virus found in this message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 2013.0.3343 / Virus Database: 3184/6369 - Release Date: 05/30/13

Note the Release Date: 05/30/13. At the time of writing the date is March 2015.

So where is the TBS according to 2 Corinthians 1:18 “But as God is true, our word toward you was not yea and nay”??

There's more 

Scripture Gift Mission disobeys Roman 13:9 “Thou shalt not bear false witness” in lockstep with Luke 14:18 “And they all with one consent began to make excuse...”

See www.sgmlifewords.com/uk/resources/details/ww1-johns-gospel.

From: *****
Sent: Thursday, October 16, 2014 3:40 PM
To: [Alan O'Reilly](#)
Subject: RE: return of WW1 Anniversary Gospels

Dear Mr O'Reilly,

We have received the gospels that you've returned back to us and the letter enclosed. We are sorry to hear that it wasn't what you've expected.

We do realize that the original gospel that was giving out during the First World War was in the King James Bible translation. We have changed that now to the NIV Bible translation for the very reason that it has been and will be used for outreach purposes and it's a more understandable Bible translation for those that will be reached in schools and through community outreaches. We do hope that you understand why we have made this decision.

We do appreciate your feedback very much and we hope that you will find a WW1 Anniversary Gospel edition in the King James version.

Yours in Christ,

Dear *****

Thank you for your note. It might be interesting, if possible, to do a paired comparison using the King James John's Gospel, available from The Trinitarian Bible Society, versus that of the NIV with school pupils on a voluntary basis in order to assess the relative levels of understanding of the two versions by each group.

As I would guess that you are aware, the minimum school leaving age increased from 12 to 14 in 1918, to 15 in 1947 and 16 in 1972. That means that many men who served in WW1 would not have had the same educational attainments that are available to pupils today. Yet what accounts exist of serving men of the WW1 era who found the King James Text hard to understand?

Moreover, readability is a major key to understanding. Established readability studies show that the King James Text is consistently superior to modern versions in that respect. The NIV, by comparison, is one of the hardest versions to read because, for example, it typically uses twice as many syllables as the King James Text for any given passage of scripture.

It's worth noting that a prototype NIV text was available during WW1 in the form of the RV Revised Version New Testament published in 1881. However, after a short burst of popularity the RV had well-nigh faded into obscurity by the outbreak of WW1. It never found favour with ordinary churchgoers and its text is only kept to the fore by re-packaging it every so often under a different name e.g. RSV 1952, NEB 1961, NIV 1978, 1984, 2011, NRSV 1989, REB 1989, ESV 2001, 2007, 2011 etc. with a fanfare of attendant hard-sell publicity.

Interestingly, most if not all of the post-RV versions are compared with the King James Text in any publicity exercise, never with post-RV versions that preceded them, as you'll see from the prefaces to these versions. It appears that the King James Version remains the standard Biblical Text, no matter what. “Thus saith the Lord GOD, Behold, I will lift up mine hand to the Gentiles, and set up **my standard** to the people...” Isaiah 49:22.

Yours in the Lord Jesus Christ

Alan O'R

So where is SGM according to Job 9:20 “If I justify myself, mine own mouth shall condemn me: if I say, I am perfect, it shall also prove me perverse”??



“The Cry of Sodom” – Genesis 18:20



“The LORD rained upon Sodom...fire from the LORD” Genesis 19:24²

Introduction – “as it was in the days of Lot” Luke 17:28

The Lord said **“Likewise also as it was in the days of Lot;...But the same day that Lot went out of Sodom it rained fire and brimstone from heaven, and destroyed them all”** Luke 17:28-29. He then said in Luke 17:30 that a return to **“the days of Lot”** would point to His Return.

“Even thus shall it be in the day when the Son of man is revealed.”

References to God’s destruction of Sodom and **“the days of Lot”** are therefore found in the later New Testament letters that look towards the Lord’s Return in fiery judgement, 2 Thessalonians 1:7-9, such as overtook Sodom. See the *Ruckman Reference Bible* pp 1629, 1641.

“And turning the cities of Sodom and Gomorrha into ashes condemned them with an overthrow, making them an ensample unto those that after should live ungodly; And delivered just Lot, vexed with the filthy conversation of the wicked: (For that righteous man dwelling among them, in seeing and hearing, vexed his righteous soul from day to day with their unlawful deeds;)” 2 Peter 2:6-8.

“Even as Sodom and Gomorrha, and the cities about them in like manner, giving themselves over to fornication, and going after strange flesh, are set forth for an example, suffering the vengeance of eternal fire” Jude 7.

The ultimate instigation of **“the days of Lot”** in this country came on March 15th 2012³.

“The government has launched a 12-week consultation on allowing gay [i.e. sodomite] couples in England and Wales to marry.” Sodomite ‘marriage’ became the law of the land in England on March 29th 2014 www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-26793127 but **never “the law of Christ”** Galatians 6:2. Sodomite ‘marriage’ shows that **“according to the scriptures”** 1 Corinthians 15:3, 4, the Lord’s Return is near. These are **“the last days”** of the **“perilous times”** 2 Timothy 3:1, www.jesus-is-savior.com/Basics/sodom.htm, Europe and America

are *Becoming a Giant Sodom and Gomorrah!* One aspect of **“the days of Lot”** is **“the cry of Sodom”** Genesis 18:20, and its relation to **“the men of Sodom...wicked and sinners before the LORD exceedingly”** Genesis 13:13.

“The Cry of Sodom” – the Biblical Witnesses

“And the LORD said, Because the cry of Sodom and Gomorrah is great, and because their sin is very grievous; I will go down now, and see whether they have done altogether according to the cry of it, which is come unto me; and if not, I will know” Genesis 18:20-21.

Most pre-1611 Bibles support the reading **“cry of Sodom,”** the Coverdale, Great, Bishops’, Geneva Bibles. The Wycliffe Bible partially supports it with *“the cry of men of Sodom.”* Early post-1611 versions, Challoner’s Revision 1749-1752, 1885 RV, 1901 ASV support **“cry of Sodom.”** The modern bibles change the reading. The RSV, NRSV, 1984 NIV, 2005 TNIV, 2011 NIV, NKJV, JB, NJB, NWT, CEV, NCV, NLT, ESV, HCSB have **“outcry against Sodom”** or similar and the NASV has **“outcry of Sodom.”** This is a change of meaning but, typically, *it is not for the better.*

“Outcry against Sodom” – What Outcry?

The change to **“outcry”** is wrong. See Jude 7 and Genesis 19:24-25.

“Then the LORD rained upon Sodom and upon Gomorrah brimstone and fire from the LORD out of heaven; And he overthrew those cities, and all the plain, and all the inhabitants of the cities, and that which grew upon the ground.”

No outcry occurred against Sodom. **“God destroyed the cities of the plain”** Genesis 19:29 **“and all the inhabitants of the cities”** with the exception of Zoar at Lot’s request, Genesis 19:20-23, because they were all engaged in Sodom’s **“very grievous”** sin, apart from **“just Lot”** whom God delivered. In a city **“overthrown by the mouth of the wicked”** Proverbs 11:11, God will spare **“the men that sigh and that cry for all the abominations that be done in the midst thereof”** Ezekiel 9:4-6 but God spared none of **“the men of Sodom.”** What, then, of the criers?

“The Cry of Sodom” – the Child Victims

The cry of a city in scripture is of its citizens and is of distress. **“...and the cry of the city went up to heaven”** 1 Samuel 5:12. See also Jeremiah 11:12, 14:2, 51:54 but note Genesis 19:4-5:

“But before they lay down, the men of the city, even the men of Sodom, compassed the house round, both old and young, all the people from every quarter: And they called unto Lot, and said unto him, Where are the men which came in to thee this night? bring them out unto us, that we may know them.”

“...all the people” were guilty, whether they **“commit such things...worthy of death”** or **“have pleasure in them that do them”** Romans 1:32. *The only ones crying in distress were victims, child victims. Only they could have cried “unto me.”* That is why **“God destroyed the cities of the plain,”** for sodomy and paedophilia, mercifully delivering the victims by sudden death.

“Occupy till I come” Luke 19:13

Government strategy is **“...as it was in the days of Lot.”** *“They want the world to become like Sodom”⁴ and new versions conceal the danger to children even though psychiatrists confirm it⁵. “...2-4% of men attracted to adults prefer men...; in contrast...25-40% of men attracted to children prefer boys...Thus the rate of homosexual attraction is [at least] 6-20 times higher among pedophiles”*

Nevertheless, **“Occupy till I come”** Luke 19:13 because **“turning the cities of Sodom and Gomorrah into ashes...The Lord knoweth how to deliver the godly out of temptations, and to reserve the unjust unto the day of judgment to be punished”** 2 Peter 2:6, 9.

Right Words

From www.av1611.org/kjv/logsdon.html From the NASV to the KJV by Frank Logsdon

Note that although aimed specifically at the NASV, Dr Logsdon's remarks apply equally to the NIV with respect to the opposition of those versions to the AV1611. These extracts are taken from an address that Dr Logsdon gave shortly before his death in 1987.

"Friends, you can say the Authorized Version is absolutely correct. How correct? 100% correct! Because biblical correctness is predicated upon doctrinal accuracy, and not one enemy of this Book of God has ever proved a wrong doctrine in the Authorized Version. You've never heard of anyone's intellect being thwarted because he believed this Authorized Version, have you? And you never will. You've never heard of anyone anytime going astray who embraced the precepts of the Authorized Version, and you never will..."

"I'm afraid I'm in trouble with the Lord, because I encouraged [Dewey Lockman] to go ahead with [the NASV]. We laid the groundwork; I wrote the format; I helped to interview some of the translators; I sat with the translators; I wrote the preface. When you see the preface to the New American Standard, those are my words..."

"Dr. David Otis Fuller in Grand Rapids [Michigan]. I've known him for 35 years, and he would say (he would call me Frank; I'd call him Duke), "Frank, what about this? You had a part in it; what about this; what about that?" And at first I thought, Now, wait a minute; let's don't go overboard; let's don't be too critical. You know how you justify yourself the last minute..."

"But I finally got to the place where I said [to my wife], "Ann, I'm in trouble; I can't refute these arguments; it's wrong; it's terribly wrong; it's frightfully wrong; and what am I going to do about it?" Well, I went through some real soul searching for about four months, and I sat down and wrote one of the most difficult letters of my life, I think.

"I wrote to my friend Dewey, and I said, "Dewey, I don't want to add to your problems," (he had lost his wife some three years before)... "but I can no longer ignore these criticisms I am hearing and I can't refute them. The only thing I can do - and dear Brother, I haven't a thing against you and I can witness at the judgment of Christ and before men wherever I go that you were 100% sincere," (...I guess nobody pointed out some of these things to him) "I must under God renounce every attachment to the New American Standard." ...

"I tell you, dear people, somebody is going to have to stand. If you must stand against everyone else, stand..."

In conclusion, Dr Logsdon said this.

"Let's be people of the Book. It took my mother to heaven; and my dad, my grandfather, my grandmother. It was Moody's Book; it was Livingstone's Book. J. C. Studd gave up his fortune to take this Book to Africa. And I don't feel ashamed to carry it the rest of my journey. It's God's Book.

"Our Father, we thank Thee and praise Thee for Thy Word. Help us to love it, and preach it, and teach it, and tell everybody we can the Good News through thy Word. In Jesus' name. Amen.""

Amen.

"How forcible are right words!..." Job 6:25.

Conclusion



i.pinimg.com/originals/51/a0/78/51a078cfd956b01ef23432ae737ee7f5.jpg

References

- ¹ www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ Royal Law – James 2v8
- ² en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sodom_and_Gomorrah
- ³ www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-17375736
- ⁴ www.chick.com/reading/tracts/1070/1070_01.asp
- ⁵ www.familyresearchinst.org/2009/02/pro-gay-bias-in-study-of-pedophilia/