

The 1611 Holy Bible versus Catholic Evasion

Introduction

Some years ago, this writer was engaged in what turned out to be a lengthy correspondence with a learned but, in this writer's view, evasive Catholic who revealed his evasiveness by refusing to face up to what ***"the scripture of truth"*** Daniel 10:21 says about his evil religion. He was like ***"the king of the children of Ammon"*** with respect to Jephthah's reasoned and historically accurate analysis.

"Howbeit the king of the children of Ammon hearkened not unto the words of Jephthah which he sent him" Judges 11:28.

The first instalment of that correspondence follows with respect to this writer's initial reply setting out what is hoped will be understood to be a true and comprehensive evaluation of Rome ***"MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH"*** Revelation 17:5. Although compiled some fifteen years ago, it is believed that the material included in the instalment remains current, especially insofar as Rome professes to be *semper eadem* 'Ever the same' en.wiktionary.org/wiki/semper_eadem. The correspondence has not been significantly edited except that the Catholic correspondent's contact details have been deleted and graphics and brief notes have been inserted in [blue braces \[\]](#) to update certain aspects of the instalment.

Judges 11:28 applies to the correspondence in that in the protracted exchange that followed this writer's initial reply, the Catholic correspondent simply cherry-picked and harped on matters of detail that neither refuted any of the material that follows nor seriously addressed it. This writer still has that further exchange on file and it can be made available on request. However, in this writer's view, that further exchange as indicated adds nothing of substance to the instalment that follows and simply confirms that Catholicism when confronted with ***"the book of the LORD"*** Isaiah 34:16 will inevitably react like apostate Israel of old of whom the Psalmist states ***"they...kept not his testimonies: But turned back, and dealt unfaithfully like their fathers: they were turned aside like a deceitful bow"*** Psalm 78:56-57.

A summary overview of Catholicism has been inserted over-page. It is hoped that this overview and the instalment that follows will inform the reader about the abomination of Catholicism so that he is able to abide faithfully by John's exhortation.

"Beloved, follow not that which is evil, but that which is good. He that doeth good is of God: but he that doeth evil hath not seen God" 3 John 11.

Rome

“Now about that time Herod the king stretched forth his hands to vex certain of the church. And he killed James the brother of John with the sword. And because he saw it pleased the Jews, he proceeded further to take Peter also. (Then were the days of unleavened bread.) And when he had apprehended him, he put him in prison, and delivered him to four quaternions of soldiers to keep him; intending after Easter to bring him forth to the people” Acts 12:1-4.

Herod was one of a long and sinister line of heathen *that under the umbrella of Rome* major in murdering the Lord’s saints as H. Grattan Guinness vividly described and as the scripture confirms.

Born in Dublin, Dr Grattan Guinness (1835-1910) was a great evangelist, author and Bible teacher, who spoke for the genuine believers of his time. The *Dublin Daily Express* said this of a service he held in 1858, aged 23. See en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henry_Grattan_Guinness:

“An enormous crowd pressed for admittance. Judges, members of Parliament, orators, Fellows of College, lights of the various professions, the rank and fashion of the metropolis have been drawn out. Among them the Lord Lieutenant, the Lord Chancellor and the Lord Justice of Appeal, etc. Such a preacher is a great power, prepared and sent forth by God, and as such Mr. Guinness has been hailed by all denominations.” Dr Grattan Guinness had this to say about Rome. See:

[whitehorsemedia.com/docs/ROMANISM AND THE REFORMATION.pdf](http://whitehorsemedia.com/docs/ROMANISM_AND_THE_REFORMATION.pdf) pp 68-69

www.mtc.org/inquis.html *THE INQUISITION: A Study in Absolute Catholic Power*, Arthur Maricle, Ph.D.

“I see the great Apostasy, I see the desolation of Christendom, I see the smoking ruins, I see the reign of monsters; I see those vice-gods, that Gregory VII, that Innocent III, that Boniface VIII, that Alexander VI, that Gregory XIII, that Pius IX; I see their long succession, I hear their insufferable blasphemies, I see their abominable lives; I see them worshipped by blinded generations, bestowing hollow benedictions, bartering away worthless promises of heaven; I see their liveried slaves, their shaven priests, their celibate confessors; I see the infamous confessional, the ruined women, the murdered innocents; I hear the lying absolutions, the dying groans; I hear the cries of the victims; I hear the anathemas, the curses, the thunders of the interdicts; I see the racks, the dungeons, the stakes; I see that inhuman Inquisition, those fires of Smithfield, those butcheries of St. Bartholomew, that Spanish Armada, those unspeakable dragonnades, that endless train of wars, that dreadful multitude of massacres.

I see it all, and in the name of the ruin it has brought in the Church and in the world, in the name of the truth it has denied, the temple it has defiled, the God it has blasphemed, the souls it has destroyed; in the name of the millions it has deluded, the millions it has slaughtered, the millions it has damned; with holy confessors, with noble reformers, with innumerable martyrs, with the saints of ages, I denounce it as the masterpiece of Satan, as the body and soul and essence of antichrist.”

“...what saith the scripture?” Romans 4:3. **“And upon her forehead was a name written, MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH. And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints, and with the blood of the martyrs of Jesus: and when I saw her, I wondered with great admiration”** Revelation 17:5-6.

Thankfully **“strong is the Lord God who judgeth her”** Revelation 18:8. **“And after these things I heard a great voice of much people in heaven, saying, Alleluia; Salvation, and glory, and honour, and power, unto the Lord our God: For true and righteous are his judgments: for he hath judged the great whore, which did corrupt the earth with her fornication, and hath avenged the blood of his servants at her hand. And again they said, Alleluia. And her smoke rose up for ever and ever”** Revelation 19:1-3.

Christian Concern
 PO Box 2113
 NUNEATON
 CV11 6ZY
 18th July 2000

Michael Petek
Contact details deleted

Dear Mr. Petek,

Thank you for your note of June 3, which *Christian Concern* has passed to me. You raise a number of interesting points that I think may be enumerated as follows:

1. Mystery Babylon is actually Freemasonry.
2. The EU and UN are run by secular humanists, who envisage a different society from that of the Roman Catholic Church, especially with respect to abortion, divorce, contraception, population control etc.
3. Historic Catholicism in European countries has deteriorated into unconcerned apostasy.
4. The “Glorious Revolution” was a foreign invasion that enabled Parliament to subvert common law and set itself up as supreme in all matters civil and ecclesiastical, preparing the way for further subversion by Freemasonry early in the 18th Century.

You also make reference to a web page www.christianorder.com, which you describe as “ultra Catholic AND Euroceptic”.

I will try to answer your points in turn. Points 2 and 3 overlap somewhat.

1. Mystery Babylon is actually Freemasonry

It is true that Freemasonry actually has its roots in ancient Babylon, which only serves to show that it is but one of the abominable offspring of “**THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS**” Revelation 17:5.

Dr. Sadler states (1) p 178 *Dekker quotes from the Encyclopaedia of Freemasonry, that the old constitutions of Freemasonry refer to “Nimrod as one of the founders of Masonry.” This is a remarkable admission of Freemasonry’s connection with the religion of Nimrod.* Earlier in his book, p 9ff, Dr. Sadler documents the extensive evidence showing that Nimrod was founder and king of Ancient Babylon. He describes, p 12, how Nimrod was deified after his death and worshipped as the incarnate sun god, together with his mother Semiramis, who is depicted as the Queen of Heaven, or mother goddess, Jeremiah 7:18, 44:17. He states *this has been copied by the Church of Rome, who worship Mary with the child Jesus. However, in reality it is the worship of Semiramis and her child born of fornication hiding under a façade of Christianity [and] in reality, the worship of Nimrod is the worship of the Devil.*

McCormick (2) p 22-24, states *William Hutchinson wrote “The Spirit of Masonry” (1775). Amongst his views widely acclaimed by Masons...he stated that Masonic Symbols were “deduced” from the Essenes etc. and Druidism, passing from pre-flood days to Noahidae (its wardens) thus to Egyptians, Chaldeans and Masters in Israel.* McCormick states further that Masonry contains Kabbalism or occult lore that derives from *Babylonian, Egyptian, Pythagorean, Aristotelean and Neo-Pythagorean teaching.*

Masonry clearly developed in parallel with what is now Roman Catholicism. Dr. Sadler (1) p 32ff charts in detail the rise of the Roman Church from the mystery religion of ancient Babylon. He states *the Etruscans...exercised a powerful influence over the religious worship of the Romans. This led to the formation of a college of Pontiffs (Roman high priests), with a Sovereign Pontiff presiding over the*

college; this was modelled on the Babylonian pattern. He states, p 38, *the Caesars held the position of "Pontifex Maximus," that is "Supreme" or "Sovereign Pontiff."* This is a very significant fact of history; but it is also very inconvenient to the Church of Rome, for it indicates the origin of the papacy.

However, the same title is found in Freemasonry. Albert Pike was the Grand Commander, Sovereign Pontiff of Universal Freemasonry and stated (3) *To you Sovereign Grand Inspectors General, we say this, that you may repeat it to the brethren of the 32nd, 31st and 30th degrees-the MASONIC RELIGION should be, by all of us initiates of the high degrees, maintained in the purity of the LUCIFERIAN doctrine. If Lucifer were not god, would Adonay (Jesus)...calumniate him? YES, LUCIFER IS GOD.*

Freemasonry and Catholicism have the same roots, the same supreme title and the same supreme object of worship. One also finds that the obelisk, a symbol of both Freemasonry and the ancient Babylonian Mystery Religion, is located in St. Peter's Square, placed in front of the basilica itself on the expressed orders of Pope Sixtus V in 1586 (4, 5, 6). The late Dr. Rivera stated (5) *the obelisk...represents a combination of both religious and political power worldwide. It appears in Egypt, in the U.S. and in the Vatican. To the Jesuits, Masons and the Illuminati* it secretly stands for one world government. The Illuminati, working in conjunction with the Opus Dei along with key Masons, is the financial arm of the Vatican. Through them the Vatican controls the wealth of the world. Rome has cleverly gotten those who are suspicious of a world-wide conspiracy going in all different directions. It's a tremendous smoke screen to keep the attention away from the Vatican. This is why so many books have been published exposing Communism, the Trilateral Commission, the C.F.R., the Rockefeller Empire, Zionism, the Illuminati, Opus Dei, the Club of Rome etc. The tragic part is that most of the writers and their followers are blind to the fact that Rome has created most of these organizations as well as the organizations fighting them. It's a big game.*

*The Enlightened Ones, a secret society aiming at world domination, was founded by Ignatius Loyola, also founder of the Jesuits, as the Alumbrados (5). The Illuminati have close connections with Freemasonry (1) p 192. The group is said to have been created on May 1st 1776, as an independent society, by Adam Weishaupt, supposedly an ex Jesuit. However, Weishaupt was simply a faithful Jesuit acting under extreme oath and induction for the purpose (7, 8) of dissociating the Illuminati from Catholicism. Hunter notes that Weishaupt remained a professor at Ingolstadt after founding the modern Bavarian Illuminati, which would have been impossible if he had deserted the Jesuit order to set up an independent society.

Revelation 17:3-6 leaves one in no doubt about the identity of Mystery Babylon. John states

"I saw a woman sit upon a scarlet coloured beast, full of names of blasphemy, having seven heads and ten horns. And the woman was arrayed in purple and scarlet colour, and decked with gold and precious stones and pearls, having a golden cup in her hand full of abominations and filthiness of her fornication: And upon her forehead was a name written, MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH. And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints, and with the blood of the martyrs of Jesus: and when I saw her, I wondered with great admiration."

The Satanic nature of **"the great whore,"** verse 1, is evident by her association with the beast, which is introduced in Revelation 13 and even by the 13 letters in her designation **"the great whore."** There are 13 words in her title in verse 5, which consists of 65 or 5 x 13 letters. A careful study of an Authorized King James Bible (9) repeatedly reveals satanic associations with the number 13, with respect to personages, names, titles, chapter and verse numberings. Note Nimrod the rebel, the 13th from Adam, Genesis 10:8, 9, Lucifer himself, Isaiah 14:13, Ezekiel 28:13, Satan himself, Luke 13:16, Acts 26:18, Judas Iscariot, 13 letters, 13 at the last supper, John 13:13, 26, Solomon on the eve of his apostasy, 2 Chronicles 9:13 and Adonikam, whose name means "Lord of the Rebellion," Ezra 2:13. The latter is

13th in the list given in Ezra 2 and is also associated with the number of the beast, 666, Revelation 13:18, or 3 x 6. The meaning of his name contains 18 or 3 x 6 letters. The number 666 was for centuries to be found on the foreheads of successive popes who bore the title VICARIVS FILII DEI, "Faithful Vicar of God". In Roman numerals, the title sums to 666 (9, 10). It is no accident therefore, that on one occasion, the Lord referred to the so-called founder of the Roman Church as "**Satan**" Matthew 16:23, the numbers sum to 39, 3 x 13, revealing the Satanic trinity of Revelation 13 and Revelation 16:13.

To continue with Revelation 17, Dr. Ruckman states (10) p 388ff, 465, *Do you know of a city that reigns over the kings of the earth," whose colors are "purple and scarlet," whose symbol is a "golden cup"?* (That wasn't the symbol of pagan Rome. It was a fasces, the blade and the rods bound together!), who is guilty of killing "the martyrs of Jesus Christ"? Did you ever read "Fox's Book of Martyrs"? There have been more than 2 million Bible-believing Christians killed in Germany, France Austria, Spain, Hungary, and Switzerland alone between 1000 and 1700 A.D. John is marvellously shocked at this apparition. He would expect pagan Rome to kill saints and martyrs, as he was in exile at this time by pagan Rome for testifying for Christ. Why would John marvel about pagan Rome killing Christians when he was one of the ones they were trying to kill? But John is looking into the future...he is looking at that great "mother of the faith"-Holy Mother Church, the professing church of Jesus Christ, the professing bride of Christ, and finds out that she's the foremost murder of Christians!

The killing has continued to this day. For example, Rome orchestrated the massacres in Croatia in World War 2, the slaughter in Vietnam in the 1960's and 70's and the present day conflict in Ulster, which has claimed over 3,000 lives (12-16). O'Callaghan (15) charges Gerry Adams with the Bloody Friday atrocity of 21st July 1972, which resulted in 9 killed and 130 injured, Martin McGuinness likewise with mass murder, p 265 and includes a description of them as 'two fine (expletive deleted) Catholic boys' p 265. O'Callaghan is open about the blessing he received from a senior RC priest, following the murder of a Special Branch Officer, (15) p 75ff. As part of the wider picture, Dr. Ruckman states (17), p 11 *All Western dictators are from one church, for Catholicism is the fascist form of worldly "Christianity."* List 'em: Hitler, Noreiga, Marcos, Allende, Franco, JFK, Stalin (trained for the Orthodox priesthood), Castro, Batiste, Mussolini, et al. They are earthbound sinners whose names are written "in the earth" (Jer. 17:13).

Revelation 17:9 states "**And here is the mind which hath wisdom. The seven heads are seven mountains, on which the woman sitteth.**" This is not a reference to Freemasonry, which has no association with "**seven mountains.**" Dr. Ruckman states (10) p 388ff *in Revelation 17 we find a city (verse 18), which is built on "seven mountains" (verse 9). The city has "purple and scarlet" colors (verse 4), and the symbol of the city is a "golden cup" (verse 4). The city has killed "martyrs" and "saints" (verse 6). This city is a political power (verse 18). This city loves gold first (Rev 18:12) and the souls of men last (Rev 18:13). The city is drunken (18:3), commercial (18:15), rich (18:12), proud (18:7), mystical (18:18), and damned by God (17:5). There is no doubt about what happened. Pagan Rome became papal Rome in 500 A.D. John...no longer sees Rome as a pagan, Godless city that is opposed to New Testament, Bible believing Christians in 90 A.D. He sees her in a mystery form-"Mystery, Babylon the Great." He sees her as a woman on seven hills who professes to be the one true body, the "infallible pillar and ground of truth," and who professes to believe every Fundamental doctrine in the word of God! She professes to believe the Virgin Birth, the Crucifixion, the Resurrection, and the Second Coming of Jesus Christ, and yet, she is occupied with murdering born-again, Bible-believing people. That's why John "wondered with great admiration."*

Revelation 17:18, 3 x 6, states "**And the woman which thou sawest is that great city, which reigneth over the kings of the earth.**" Note another of the whore's titles with 13 letters, "**that great city.**" This title cannot be a reference to Freemasonry, which is a society but not a city. The reference is clearly to papal Rome, which includes but is not limited to the papacy and is rightly described as "**the habitation**

of devils, and the hold of every foul spirit, and a cage of every unclean and hateful bird” Revelation 18:2. It is no surprise that the Vatican Manuscript, basis for Catholic bibles and most modern bible perversions, found in excellent condition in the Vatican Library in 1481 (11), omits the entire Book of Revelation!

Finally, it is interesting to note that in 1983 the Vatican actually lifted the ban on Freemasonry, following the scandal surrounding the death of Roberto Calvi and Banco Ambrosiano affair (2) p 100ff. Michael de Semlyen (18) p 76 writes *Just before the press revelations relating to the P2 lodge of Italian Freemasonry and the Vatican Ambrosiano Bank scandal, the Papal ban on Freemasonry was suddenly lifted in January 1983. ‘The “Great Vatican Lodge” list...contained the names of 121 confirmed members of Freemasonry to which the Roman Catholic Church had long declared itself implacably opposed.’ (In God’s Name p 255-6, D. Yallop 1984: Corgi Books). Masonry encourages all religions. Churches that accept Freemasonry offer little or no resistance to ecumenical unity, and thus Freemasonry and Catholicism, freed from the difficulties of the past, can share a common goal in overseeing one world faith.*

One must reasonably conclude therefore that **“Mystery Babylon”** is now the Roman Catholic system and includes her adherents because she is said to have **“flesh”** Revelation 17:18 but Freemasonry also derives from **“Mystery Babylon”** Revelation 17:5.

2. The EU and UN are run by secular humanists, who envisage a different society from that of the RC “Church”, especially with respect to abortion, divorce, contraception, population control etc.

No doubt many secular humanists occupy influential positions in the EU and UN. Yet, how does one know whether or not they are simply *professing* humanists? Is it not possible that they could be Jesuits under extreme oath, as the late Dr. Rivera once was? Michael de Semlyen (18) p 134 cites the Rev. J. A. Wylie, in *The History of Protestantism*, as follows. *‘There was no tongue they could not speak, and no creed they could not profess, and thus there was no people among whom they might not sojourn and no church whose membership they might not enter and whose functions they might not discharge. They could execrate the Pope with the Lutheran, and swear the Solemn League with the Covenanter. The Order of Jesus is never more formidable than when it appears to be least so. It is when Jesuits are stripped of all external means of doing harm that they devise the vastest schemes, and execute them with the most daring courage.*

Why could not Jesuits under extreme oath therefore pose as humanists in the EU or UN, in order to deflect attention away from Vatican politics?

There is evidence that neither the EU nor the UN is basically humanistic but rather spiritual. Adrian Hilton writes (19) p 32ff *at the close of the 20th century, we witness Europe’s leaders and the Roman Catholic Church still working together towards the common goal of unity. Many of Europe’s political leaders, including Commissioners and MEPs, see a crucial role for the Roman Catholic Church in their efforts, providing a powerfully cohesive common religion to hold Europe together politically. The Pope’s calls for spiritual unity are echoed by leading politicians all over Europe, especially those allied to the Vatican’s political wing, the so-called Christian Democratic parties. The Christian Democratic leaders from Belgium, Germany, Greece, Italy, Luxembourg and the Netherlands have all backed far-reaching plans for the transfer of national sovereignty and the establishment of a federal government, with sole control over monetary, foreign and defence policy. Among European leaders who have been influential in furthering this social agenda are former Dutch prime minister, Ruud Lubbers, and the former president of the European Commission, Jacques Delors - both Jesuit educated. Chancellor Kohl of Germany and former Spanish prime minister, Felipe Gonzales, are also devout Roman Catholics. For them, there is no nobler task than the unifying of the European continent. A German colleague of*

Jacques Delors described the idea of a united Europe as ‘essentially a Catholic concept’, of which an inevitable result would be the subjugation of Britain’s Protestant ethos to Roman Catholic social, political and religious teachings. See also de Semlyen (18) p 88ff.

Given the identification of the Roman Catholic Church with Mystery Babylon, see above, it is interesting that the Council of Europe published a poster (20) a few years ago, depicting a partially completed tower of Babel, surrounded by 12 inverted stars. The poster was meant to symbolize ‘the building of Europe,’ the 12 stars denoting the members’ states and their inverted position conveying an obviously occult context. It was withdrawn soon after publication. Its designers no doubt realized they were giving too much away! [2015 update. The image is still available for the European Parliament building in Strasbourg. See *The Babel Tower Governing Europe* www.traditioninaction.org/History/G_009_OldNewBabel.html]



Roy Livesey (21) p 20ff, emphasizes the occult nature of the global political systems. He writes *The New Age movement will bring in more and more of the occult realm. The satanic structure at work, through groups like the United Nations and European Economic Community (EC), will be increasingly well prepared. Any reading about the United Nations [will encounter] the New Age and occult spirituality. Robert Muller’s book “New Genesis-Shaping a Global Spirituality” is an eye-opener for those who will see the spiritual direction in which the U.N. is headed. Muller, a former Assistant Secretary-General...dedicated [his book] to Dag Hammarskjold and U Thant, “my spiritual masters.” Let us see Robert Muller’s way of “shaping a global spirituality”; “As vividly described in the story of the Tree of Knowledge, having decided to become like God through knowledge and our attempt to understand the heavens and the earth, we have also become masters in deciding between good and bad: every invention of ours can be used for good and bad all along the above Copernican tapestry of our knowledge. This gives Catholic, Christian and all spiritual educators a marvellous opportunity to teach a new morality and ethics all along the scale and thus to prepare responsible citizens, workers, scientists, geneticists, physicists and scores of other professionals, including a new badly needed category: world managers and caretakers.”*

Michael de Semlyen (18) p 104, summarizes the merging of the various global groups under an occult aegis, again via Mystery Babylon. *The Pope is well placed to emerge as spiritual leader of the New Age movement [which] like the Vatican has close links with powerful organisations such as the United Nations, UNESCO, the World Council of Churches, the Club of Rome, the Trilateral Commission, the Bilderberg Group and the Freemasons. Other organisations and movements with a political agenda, such as conservationist and many and various peace and disarmament groups, also act as a veil behind which one-world government and one-world religion are taking shape.*

Adrian Hilton states (19) p 34 *it is noteworthy that the Vatican has a special status at the United Nations and is able to use its influence in that sphere. The Pope has a privileged right to address the UN general Assembly, while the Holy See has full rights to participate in and speak at UN meetings. No other religious body, Christian or otherwise, is in such a position.*

It is difficult to see, therefore, that Europe’s essentially Catholic identity and culture is historical only, certainly with respect to the social, political and religious ethos of that identity and culture. These may change outwardly, to suit a less traditional and more secular populace but this is merely one feature of the whore who alters her appearance to suit her clients, because **“her ways are moveable, that thou**

canst not know them” Proverbs 5:6. Nevertheless, Rome is in reality “Semper Eadem” (18) p 60 and **“Her house is the way to hell, going down to the chambers of death”** Proverbs 7:27.

Dr. Ruckman (22) p 8, has an incisive comment on Vatican control of the UN, with respect to the recent invasion of Kosovo. *In 1999 Slick Willie, without a declaration of war or even the approval of Congress, attacked Yugoslavia with the intention of killing ONE race while excluding the other two races (Bosnian Muslims and Catholic Croats). The Serbs had attacked NO OTHER COUNTRY; their entire problem was internal. It resembled nothing that Hitler or Stalin did, although all the news media (pro-Catholic) likened Milosevic’s attempts to stop a revolution and terrorism (the KLA) within his own country as a “Hitler operation.” They never mentioned Stalin killing more than 20,000,000 of his own people. The U.S.A. sent no troops into Russia between 1921-1950 to stop those massacres; they were “legit.” But that is not the “quarter of it.” While the U.S.A. waged aggressive warfare against the anti-Catholic Serbs (ahhhh! There you go!) who were trying to run Moslem terrorists (the KLA) out of their country-while the Moslems were allied with the Vatican, via Arafat!! - the Turks ran 200,000 Cypriot refugees off their island, and NO ONE HELPED ANY OF THEM GET BACK HOME. The Turks did this after killing 2,000,000 anti-Moslem Armenians and anti-Catholic Greek Orthodox citizens. The pope never opened his mouth. His alliances were with Mohammed: Moslems - anti-Semitic. The fact that the Turks then killed off 38,000 Kurds and displaced 4,000,000 Kurdish people didn’t call for American bombers like Kosovo did. Our highly “pro-Catholic” president and armed forces declared war on a country that had not killed one-tenth of that number, and the people they fought against in their own country were out to overthrow the government. The earliest inhabitants of Kosovo were Serbian, and it was a Serbian land since the migrations of the seventh century. More than a million “Kosovites” in Yugoslavia were not Kosovites at all: they never were. They were illegal “wet backs” from Albania. But here is Pope John II (Denver, Colorado, 1993) demanding that an “anti-Serbian” stand be taken against Serbia. In order to help his church members in Croatia and his buddies in the Arafat terrorist army (PLO: Palestinian “Liberation” Organization), Slick Willie should bomb Serbia without Congress having any say so whatsoever. Those were orders from the Vatican. “KOSOVO”: Killing Other Serbs - the Vatican supervised the killing of 250,000 Serbs in World War II - On Vatican Orders.*

The massacre of Orthodox Serbs by Catholic Croats and their Muslim allies in World War 2 is well documented (5, 12). See comments earlier. While one must acknowledge the brutality that the Serbs inflicted in both Bosnia and Kosovo in the last decade, the news media, with its pro Vatican stance, failed to report adequately on the like behaviour of the Croats and Muslims during that time. **“A false balance is abomination to the Lord”** Proverbs 11:1. Dr. Peter Trumper (23) published a testimony of the Vatican’s influence in the dismemberment of Yugoslavia during the 1990’s, carried out under the auspices of the UN. This testimony is entitled *HEART CRY FROM CROATIA* and for obvious reasons is anonymous.

The appalling atrocities committed by the Croats against the Serbs [are] being repeated by Ustashi again, but with much stronger intensity on Serbian people. They spare no one. They kill old and young, they burn houses, they rob, they rape...all is done in the name of Democracy and the Catholic faith. I believe what they do now to Serbian people, they will do on all Protestants and others if they get the chance. Remember, I am a Serb, my wife is a Christian Croat. My daughter is married to a Croat, and so is my sister. By this you know I love all people. I wonder how many people in the West are blinded and they think only to hate Communism. So Vatican is blinding the West by this. I tell you, God has opened wonderful doors among Serbs now. I hope God will defend Serbian people who are suffering in Croatia. I live among Albanian people, but Albanian people in Serbia have greater freedom. My dear brother, do all you can to inform people about the truth about the modern Inquisition which is being done on Serbian people...

It is not surprising that during World War 2, two divisions of Waffen SS, the 13th and 21st, were raised from Moslems in Bosnia and Kosovo respectively *to harness the traditional hatred felt by the Moslems...for the Christian Serbs* (24) p 17, 23. Almost half of the latter formation deserted within a few weeks of being mustered and the record of the former is summed up *as extreme nervousness in the face of determined opposition, accompanied by sickening cruelty to civilians*. It appears that not much has changed in the last 60 years, except that the Vatican is now working through the UN instead of the Nazis.

Both Hilton (19) p 19 and Livesey (21) p 21, draw attention to the statement of Paul Spaak, former Belgian Prime Minister, first President of the Council of Europe, one of the planners of the European Common Market and once President of the United Nations General Assembly. This statement encapsulates the true spirituality of both the EU and UN and argues strongly against strictly humanist control of these groups. *Send us a man who can hold the allegiance of all the people, and whether he be God or devil, we will receive him.*

The diabolical spirituality of the EU was demonstrated unequivocally on February 10th 1993, when 50 French police abducted 13 adults and 7 children, aged between 2 to 17, from their homes in a dawn raid. The victims were from four Protestant families, of the Citadel Christian Church (25-27). The raid was carried out on the basis of totally unsubstantiated charges of "child abuse." The police forcibly separated the children from their parents and the French Judiciary has kept the childrens' whereabouts a secret to this day. An atheistic organization, the *National Union of Associations for the Defence of the Family and the Individual*, was largely responsible for instigating the attack on the Citadel Christian Church. However, it has since emerged (26) that at least one of the kidnapped children is to be brought up by her grandparents as a *Roman Catholic*, against her parents' wishes. The fate of the remaining children is not difficult to imagine. No matter how she masquerades, the whore eventually reveals her true self, her true purpose and her true methods. Recently the French National Assembly passed legislation aimed at protecting individuals from 'sects' that might supposedly cause mental harm, by means of 'mental manipulation,' BBC News, 23/6/00. Adrian Hilton (19) p 104 writes *The Evangelical Alliance notes that Evangelical Christians are perceived by the EU as a 'sect', and that any group which does not belong to the majority church (Roman Catholic) is viewed by many MEPs with suspicion*. The Catholic controlled media in this country (18) p 90, 108, focussed upon an extreme cult, the Church of Scientology, in its reports on the National Assembly's decision and essentially ignored ordinary Christian groups. However, the persecution of the Citadel Church shows all too clearly at whom the legislation is aimed. In recent years, British Christians have suffered for their witness at the hands of the authorities even in the UK itself. Hilton (19) p 104ff writes *In 1994, two open-air preachers were arrested in Bournemouth and held for 48 hours in police custody. In 1995, an open-air preacher was attacked in Gloucester. Although the police knew the attackers they made no arrest. A Christian newsletter reports of one Christian couple who were arrested after speaking of Christ to a member of a religious street procession who had approached them. And there have recently been reports that the police have asked a church in a predominantly Muslim area to remove a Gospel text from their notice board.*

It is true that with respect to certain moral issues, such as abortion, divorce, contraception and population control etc., the Catholic Church appears overtly righteous in countries such as the UK, with a significant Biblical tradition (18) p 60ff. However, in countries that are still largely heathen, such as in Africa, Haiti or the Philippines *Roman Catholicism is fully integrated into the local paganism and the Gospel totally obscured within it*. Persecution of Christians in these countries is protracted and intense. *Where the Catholic Church is strong, Rome's other side has shown itself in intolerance and cruelties towards Protestants. The fact is that in such countries Protestant missionaries are being persecuted for their faith, and sometimes tortured and killed. In Columbia...from 1948 to 1959, 116 Protestants were killed, 66 Protestant churches or chapels were burned or bombed, and over 200 schools closed. The*

Vatican appeared to approve these things, for the Archbishop of Bogota was promoted to Cardinal by Pope John XXIII in 1960 (18) p 66ff.

Why therefore could not Roman Catholicism be fully integrated into the secular humanist post-Christian culture of the EU, as long as her economic and political objectives, including the destruction of Protestant Biblical Christianity, continue to be achieved, with ever-closer European integration? The evidence cited above indicates that Rome and the EU are already fully integrated.

Concerning abortion, any pope understands that murdering the next generation is not conducive to maintaining large numbers of church members! However, Rome has never been loathe to commit infanticide as such, where necessary, for example when nuns have born children fathered by priests (6) p 117, (28), (29) p 62, (30) p 24, (31) p 118. This is in addition to the untold numbers of children murdered in papal persecutions down through the centuries to the present day, when it is largely concealed or distorted by the media (4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 14, 18, 19, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27).

Outwardly, the Catholic Church is against divorce but in reality it does not oppose the ruin of multitudes of women by the practice of auricular confession. Charles Chiniquy, a priest of Rome for 25 years (32) p 58, 60, wrote *No human act of folly, moral depravity, and want of common sense can equal the permission by a man to his wife to go and confess to the priest.* On one occasion, Chiniquy recalled the tragic irony he experienced during a visit to the home of a wealthy Catholic merchant. *After dinner, the merchant asked his lady to call the children that I might see them, and I could not but admire their beauty. But I do not need to say that the pleasure of seeing these dear and lovely little ones was much marred by the secret, though sure, knowledge I had, that the three youngest were the fruits of the unspeakable depravity of auricular confession in the higher ranks of society.* Chiniquy's testimony emphasizes that this situation was by no means an isolated incident and Dr. Rivera affirmed that *the activities Chiniquy describes in this book are still going on.* Rev. Ivan Foster of Kilskeery Free Presbyterian Church and Dr. Dennis Lloyd of Manurewa Baptist Church, Auckland New Zealand have independently and extensively documented the depravity of the Roman clergy over the years, which is increasingly bearing rotten ecumenical fruit. The catalogue of evil extends well beyond mere adultery and fornication (33) p 58ff. One could argue therefore that one reason why Rome opposes divorce is that if she allowed it on scriptural grounds, Matthew 19:9, priests would be much more vulnerable to having to pay for the upkeep of their illegitimate offspring! Another telling blow to the notion of Rome's concern for the family is that Rupert Murdoch, media baron, divorcee and leading pornographer, received a papal knighthood in January 1999, for donations to the Catholic Church totaling \$10,000,000 (35).

Rome's stance on population control depends on whether the population is essentially Catholic or non-Catholic, (5, 12) and her attitude to contraception is equivocal. No doubt she opposes contraception for the same reason that she opposes abortion but the opposition is far from absolute. In 1969, while still a bishop, Albino Luciani, later Pope John Paul 1, adopted a conciliatory approach to married couples using contraceptives, based on the document *Humanae Vitae*, although he regarded such use as *not in conformity with Christian norms* (34) p 61. Yallop then adds *Throughout this entire period the Vatican continued to benefit from the profits derived from one of the many companies it owned, the Istituto Farmacologico Sereno. One of Sereno's best selling products was an oral contraceptive called Luteolas.*

To develop Yallop's observation, it is strange that a church whose supposed Founder was born, lived and died in poverty and which in this country yet seeks to retain the moral high ground, should have become so pre-occupied with mammon over the centuries and up to the present. The Lord Jesus Christ said **"Ye cannot serve God and mammon"** Matthew 6:24 and Rome's obsession with worldly wealth must therefore surely call into serious question the validity of any genuine service to God on her part. The late Avro Manhattan (36) p 207 wrote *the Vatican was, and still is, the most redoubtable wealth*

*accumulator and property owner in existence. No one knows for certain how much the Catholic Church was, or is, worth in terms of dollars and other currencies, not even the pope himself. That is the true situation borne out by a Vatican Official who, when asked to make a guess at the Vatican's wealth today replied, very tellingly, "Only God knows." One could also seriously question the morality, for a "church," of some of those sources of wealth. The De Rance Inc. of Milwaukee was a feeder foundation for the Roman Catholic religious orders. Among other assets De Rance had a 47 per cent interest in Miller Beer. The preceding year, Miller had sales totalling \$145 million. It is the eighth largest producer of beer in the U.S. That the Catholic Church should be involved in the wholesale of alcohol is not exceptional. Several of her religious orders are doing just that. The Christian Brothers, for instance, advertise the largest-selling brandy in the U.S. The Carthusian Order of monks produces and sells Chartreuse, which is a kind of "super liqueur" (34) p 182. O.C. Lambert (37) p 105ff published many details of the Catholic Church's liquor operations in the U.S.A., including photographs of liquor advertisements from the Christian Brothers and of the distilling operation for Chartreuse, overseen by 'semisilent' monks. Lambert explains that *It may be that they let them talk a little so they can say, "Shut her off boys, she's getting too hot!"**

In sum, Rome is good at keeping up appearances and wielding both political and economic power, especially behind the scenes, while making a convincing outwardly religious display but the truth inevitably seeps through. She is undoubtedly the dominant force in both the EU and UN and the Bible itself predicts their final end. Note that the scripture uses the word "**assemble**," the same word for the UN by which it is most widely known. "**Therefore wait ye upon me, saith the Lord, until the day that I rise up to the prey: for my determination is to gather the nations, that I may assemble the kingdoms, to pour upon them mine indignation, even all my fierce anger: for all the earth shall be devoured with the fire of my jealousy**" Zephaniah 3:8.

3. Historic Catholicism in European countries has deteriorated into unconcerned apostasy

At this point it is instructive to insert the table of contents of the October 1999 issue of *Christian Order*, which is evidently both ultra Catholic *and* Eurosceptic. This particular issue seemed to focus on Europe more specifically than most other issues, before or since and one could well understand the organization's dissatisfaction with a united Europe that was not overtly papist - yet. What is also instructive is the inclusion of the obituary of Malachi Martin, a noted author of several detailed works addressing the vast subject of papal global supremacy. *Christian Order* must consider that the late former Jesuit priest was a person of some considerable importance and, one would think, most likely agree with Martin's perception of a pan-European or indeed one world government, under the pope.

Christian Order, OCTOBER 1999 Issue

LAWYERS, GUNS AND MONEY The Editor

EUROPE: THE ARTIFICIAL NATION Patrick J. Buchanan

A PATRON SAINT FOR EUROSCEPTICS: BROTHER KLAUS AGAINST THE NEW WORLD ORDER
Michael McGrade

THE MIRACLE OF LANCIANO Fr. Stefano M. Manelli, F.F.I.

KENYON WRIGHT'S SCOTTISH STRATEGY Iain Colquhoun

SOME QUESTIONS CONCERNING THE EUROPEAN UNION AND THE UNITED KINGDOM Stanley
Booton

EUROPE'S LOBSTER SUPPER CRISIS Keith Waterhouse

THE WEST FIGHTS BACK John Waters

FR. MALACHI MARTIN Obituary

Hilton (19) p 33 quotes from Martin's volume, *The Keys of this Blood* as follows. *What captures the unwavering attention of the secular leaders of the world in this remarkable network of the Roman Catholic Church is precisely the fact that it places at the personal disposal of the Pope a supra-national, supra-continental, supra-trade-bloc structure that is so built and orientated that if tomorrow or next week, by a sudden miracle, a one-world government were established, the Roman Church would not have to undergo any essential change in order to retain its dominant position to further its global aims.*

Hilton's note, see above, p 6, that the Vatican has a special status at the UN, follows this quotation. In his book, Martin evidently laments the secularization of European society, which no doubt hinders universal recognition of the pope's claim to world sovereignty, according to the Bull Unam Sanctam that Pope Boniface VIII issued in 1302 (5) and which the Vatican has never revoked. Dr. Ruckman (38) p 17, quotes from p 652-655 of *The Keys of this Blood*. *Europe's origins, its rise to power, its contributions to civilization, its glories, all were marinated in Roman Christianity [but] Europe is no longer the Roman Catholic 'faith' and the Roman Catholic 'faith' is no longer Europe. The planned Europe is godless, just as, already, large segments of Europe's population, over wide areas, are godless and religionless. [Catholics] expected a Crusade on John Paul's part. There was none. Quite clearly, in the minds of its most ardent exponents...the Europe of their dreams and projections is not a 'Europe of faith' [but] the greater economic space of Europe [where] liberty is the freedom to do what one wishes to, happiness is a condition of living protected against poverty, in a clean environment, with adequate medical coverage and access to labor saving and pleasure making products of an ever more sophisticated technology.* Dr. Ruckman indicates that the scriptures predicted well in advance the falling away from traditional faith, even among Catholics and the descent into carnality and materialism, 2 Thessalonians 2:1, 1 Timothy 4:1, 2, 2 Timothy 3:1-9, 4:3-4.

However, Europe's apparent rejection of the traditional Catholic 'faith' can only delay fulfillment of the Vatican's political aspirations. It cannot derail them. It did not, for example, prevent the passage of laws enabling persecution of non-Catholic groups and leading European politicians are fully in sympathy with the pope's ambitions. Hilton (19) painstakingly reviews the history of Europe, p 16ff, from the perspective of Catholic aims. He reveals that the modern EU will essentially be a revitalization of the Holy Roman Empire, first realized with the coronation of Charlemagne, in AD 800, (19) p 26. The revived empire is intended to reach its zenith with the pope reigning as both temporal and spiritual ruler *from the Urals to the Atlantic* (19) p 37. Hilton states (19) p 17ff *the Vatican notoriously thinks in centuries. And in John Paul II we have the most political Pope of modern times. It is in the movement towards federalism of the Common Market, with the coming membership of Eastern European countries, as well as in the turmoil of the Soviet Union, that the Pope may see the greatest possibility for an increase in Catholic political power since the fall of Napoleon, or since the Counter-Reformation. The Common Market itself started under the inspiration of Catholic politicians-such as Adenauer of Germany, Paul Henri-Spaak, Jean Monnet and Robert Schumann. They were all Christian Democrats. They were all deeply influenced by Catholic social teaching. Few European countries have committed themselves to untrammelled capitalism, because most are penetrated by the corporatist ideas of the Church, and the social teaching of the popes from Leo XIII onwards. John Paul II's recent encyclical, commemorating the Rerum Novarum of Leo XIII, gave only a grudging blessing to the free market economy. The EC Social Charter and the socialism of Jacques Delors are imbued with Catholic social doctrine. If European federalism triumphs, the EC will indeed be an empire: but it will have the Pope. It is difficult not to think that Wojtyla realises this.*

The essence of the EU is the wielding of power and in Europe executive power is in the hands of the politicians and legislators. If not Jesuits under extreme oath-see above-they may be practicing secular humanists and not traditional Catholics like the late Fr. Martin and the publishers of *Christian Order*. However, their final allegiance will undoubtedly be to the so-called "prince of the apostles" who is in fact a prince of darkness and ultimately none other than Satan incarnate and Satan's viceroy-the Pope.

The rest of the secular humanist population will follow suit-or else! Revelation 13:4 states **“And they worshipped the dragon which gave power unto the beast : and they worshipped the beast, saying, Who is like unto the beast? who is able to make war with him?”**

[2015 update *New Statesman* April 2nd 2015. Pope Francis has been hailed as *Beloved of the people: how the Pope has again become a leader for our times*. See:

www.newstatesman.com/international-politics/2015/03/beloved-people-how-pope-has-again-become-leader-our-times

4. The “Glorious Revolution” was a foreign invasion that enabled Parliament to subvert common law and set itself up as supreme in all matters civil and ecclesiastical, preparing the way for further subversion by Freemasonry early in the 18th Century.



The watchword of the “Glorious Revolution” was imprinted on the pennant that flew from the masthead of William’s flagship the *Brill*, which stated that *THE PROTESTANT RELIGION AND THE LIBERTIES OF ENGLAND I WILL MAINTAIN*. This pledge commanded English loyalty and reassured ordinary Englishmen and women who had suffered under the Romanism of the deposed James II, which sought to reverse all the blessings that God had bestowed on this nation through the English Reformation. Bishop J.C. Ryle wrote (39) *the Reformation delivered England from gross religious ignorance and spiritual darkness, from the most grovelling, childish and superstitious practices in religion, the degrading tyranny and swindling impostures of the Romish priesthood [and] the plague of unholiness and immorality among the clergy. We owe to the Reformation an English Bible, and the liberty for every man, woman, and child in the land to read it, an open road to the throne of grace and the great fountain of peace with God, a true idea of Christian worship, a true notion of the office of a Christian Minister and a right standard of Christian holiness*. William’s invasion was essentially a deliverance from renewed thralldom to popery, from which the nation had only recently escaped.

Winston Churchill wrote (40) p 302, 304ff *James...dreamed of reconverting England to Rome under the sword of France. James was a convert to Rome. He was a bigot, and there was no sacrifice he would not make for his faith. Protestant opinion has never doubted that if he gained despotic power he would have used it for his religion in the same ruthless manner as Louis XIV. In the very year of James’s accession the King of France revoked the Edict of Nantes, and by the persecutions known as the Dragonnades quelled the last resistance of the Huguenots. James, in letters which are still preserved, approved the persecutions practised by the French monarch. The English Protestant nation would have been very foolish to trust themselves to the merciful tolerances of James II once he had obtained the absolute power he sought. They did not do so. They were quite sure, from his character, from his record, from his avowed unshakeable convictions, from the whole character of the Catholic Church at this time, that once he wielded the sword their choice would be the Mass or the stake*.

James’ tyranny was demonstrated by his persecution of the Scots Covenanters and his brutal revenge on those who had welcomed the pathetic Duke of Monmouth (40-43). Churchill describes the aftermath of Monmouth’s defeat at Sedgemoor. *Chief Justice Jeffreys was sent into the West to deal with the large number of prisoners. This cruel, able, unscrupulous judge made his name ever odious by “the Bloody Assize”. Between two and three hundred persons were hanged, and about eight hundred transported to Barbados, where their descendents still survive. James marked the ruthless judge for advancement to*

Lord Chancellor. Jeffreys spared neither women who had sheltered defeated rebels nor children under ten years of age (41) p 6. Brothers William and Benjamin Hewling, mere youths, were executed within about a fortnight of each other in September 1685, despite the pleas of their sister to Lord Churchill. Stanley Martin (41) writes *it is too heart-rending to cite more examples of the popish king's atrocities. Let us, however, bear in mind they were inflicted by an agent of the pope, for the purpose of destroying Protestantism and establishing the papacy in our land.* It should be understood that Monmouth's ignominious failure was at least in part a desperate and ill-fated attempt to preserve genuine freedom of conscience. Broome (42) p 19 writes *James [misunderstood] the extent of the feeling that lay deep in the nation for liberty, freedom of speech and worship, for which many, like the Hewling brothers, had been willing to sacrifice their young lives. The "rebels" who supported Monmouth were loyal Englishmen, fighting for freedom of religion and conscience against what amounted to an absolutist monarch, who had not fully revealed his true colours at that time.* That time was not long in coming. Stanley Martin (41) p 7 continues.

The real design of the royal schemer was soon apparent. James officered his army with Romanists. England had already a popish king, now it must have a popish army. Alarmed and bewildered, the nation saw its liberties passing into the hands of those who served the pope of Rome. Heated debates went on in Parliament. The king was reminded that according to the law of the land, Romanists could not hold civil or military appointments, and that only the Government had the authority to remove disabilities. The Test Act had been enacted as one of the great bulwarks of the Church of England against popery. It provided that all persons holding offices or places of trust were obliged to make a declaration against Transubstantiation. It was passed in 1673, and its object was to prevent political power being placed in the hands of Romanists. Past experience had proved the need for such a safeguard. But James, who considered himself above all law (40) p 315 sought to ride roughshod over this important statute. He continued to grant commissions to Romanists, sought to make Parliament repeal the Act, and asserted that even if it were not repealed, he would have his own way all the same. He sought also the repeal of the famous "Habeas Corpus Act". This law, which was based on Magna Carta, is an important safeguard of English liberty. It prevents unjust detention in prison, and requires that "justice and right" without delay" be given to every man. Parliament, not complying with all the king's arrogant behests, his Majesty promptly dissolved...in July 1687, determined to raise up on its ruins his own arbitrary despotism. Clearly the members of the Citadel Church did not experience Habeas Corpus!

Churchill (40) p 311 wrote *These actions disturbed the whole realm. The methods of absolutism were being used to restore the Catholic religion, more dreaded than absolutism itself. Lawyers discerned that a direct conflict between statutory law and Royal Prerogative had arisen. Moreover, they now asserted that the King should not only be under the law, but under the law made in Parliament, the law of statute. The Common Lawyers all ranged themselves behind the new claim.*

Subversion of Common law was surely a distinct characteristic of James' short and abominable reign. By 1688, his catalogue of excesses included his cruelty towards Monmouth's followers, the illegal preferment of Catholics for the forces, the Bench and Privy Council, the proroguing of Parliament, his abuse of the Royal prerogative to repeal the Test and Habeas Corpus Acts. By these and other outrages, James had alienated vast sections of the whole nation (40) p 317. The dismissed parliamentarians were against him, the men who had brought about the Restoration, whose fathers had fought and died for Charles I in the Civil War, were against him, the Universities, the senior posts of which James had also sought to Romanise, were against him. The non-Catholic Dissenters, including John Bunyan the immortal dreamer, who had suffered at the hands of both the popish King and the Anglican Church were against him, even though James had subsequently tried to win their support by his crafty Declarations of Indulgence.

Churchill wrote (40) p 315 *Not only the proudest and wealthiest nobles, but the broad strength of the people, were equally offended. The rich and powerful, in resisting the Crown, felt themselves upborne by the feelings of the voteless masses.* Finally, despite its doctrine of non-resistance, even the Established Church openly opposed James, following his efforts to force the clergy to read out his second Declaration of Indulgence to their congregations, which they refused to do (40) p 318, (41) p 12ff. The arrest, trial and acquittal of the seven bishops, Sancroft, Ken, Lake, Lloyd, Trelawny, Turner and White, is well-known (41) p 12ff, (44) and served to harden resistance to James not only amongst ordinary parishioners but even in the Army (40) p 319. James had asserted that he was above the law (40) p 315, (41) p 10, having done much to subvert it. He had harangued the University Fellows with the words *"I am king, I WILL be obeyed. Let those who refuse look to it, for they shall feel the whole weight of my hand"* (41) p 9. Thus he genuinely and idolatrously claimed the right and power to legislate in any civil or ecclesiastical matter even to the offence of God-and God was clearly offended.

There is an obvious parallel between James' tactics to overthrow Parliament on behalf of his papist supporters and the present Government's so-called "reform" of the House of Lords (43, 45). The Upper House stands in the way of evil enactments that would allow New Labours' paedophile and sodomite supporters to gain greater access to young children. *The Times, January 11 2000*, reported *Mr. Straw was compelled to announce that the Bill [to lower the age of consent for sodomy] would be reintroduced in the 1999-2000 session, and that the Parliament Act will be used to ensure that it becomes law in the new year.* Such a gross abuse of established parliamentary procedure for such a nefarious purpose merits the observation of a colleague in industry who remembers the present Home Secretary from the latter's days as a student politician with the NUS. *Jack Straw was a communist terrorist then and he's a communist terrorist now!* Given the disproportionately high number of sodomites and paedophiles amongst the Roman clergy (33) p 58ff and the present Cabinet, it is not difficult to discern Rome's covert support for New Labour in this respect, her high moral public profile notwithstanding (33) p 10.

[2015 update. See www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-26793127 on the 2014 legalization of sodomite 'marriage.' ***"But evil men and seducers shall wax worse and worse, deceiving, and being deceived"*** 2 Timothy 3:13. See www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/The_Cry_of_Sodom/]

There is another parallel between James' papal inspired tyranny and that of the EU, which demonstrates again that any apparent secular humanism on the part of EU leaders is but a cloak for Rome's unchanging efforts, (18) p 60, to achieve world domination, by any means. Only her tactics change. Lawyers John Bowers QC and Mark L R Mullins have commented on Article 4 (2) of the EU's new employment directive (47) p 24, which defines *occupational qualification*, such as a religious organisation could use as a defence against a charge of discrimination lodged, say, by an unsuccessful job applicant. They state *There is nothing in Article 4 (2) similar to Section 13 of the Human Rights Act 1998 requiring that particular regard be given to the importance of the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion to a religious organisation.* Blakeney (48) p 278-279, 298 cites from the encyclical letter of Pope Pius IX, 8th December 1864, containing the *Syllabus of Errors*. *Our Predecessor Gregory XVI [called] that erroneous opinion most pernicious to the Catholic Church, and to the salvation of souls, the insanity, namely that "liberty of conscience and of worship is the right of every man: and that this right ought, in every well-governed State, to be proclaimed and asserted by the law: and that the citizens possess the right of being unrestrained in the exercise of every kind of liberty, by any law, ecclesiastical or civil, so that they are authorised to publish and put forward openly, all their ideas whatsoever, either by speaking, in print, or by any other method."* Blakeney noted of this passage that *Here the Pope condemns in toto the truth that "Liberty of conscience and of worship is the right of every man."* *And yet Romish agitators now raise the cry of religious liberty in Britain! What Rome means by religious liberty, when she speaks of it approvingly, is the power of carrying out her own intolerant system, and the destruction of the liberty of others.* The EU employment directive purports to promote *equal treatment* (47) p 9. What it will actually do is destroy religious liberty in

complete agreement with the aspirations of Pius IX. Rome will no doubt find a way to accommodate the possibility that the directive might potentially disadvantage the Catholic Church (46) p 12, especially in regard to *practising homosexuals* (33) p 58ff. See also comments under 2, (18) p 134.

Michael Clark (49) sets forth a further parallel between the enforced Romanising of Britain by James II, blocked by William's invasion and the complicity of New Labour in the EU's attempt to replace Habeas Corpus by Corpus Juris. *The plan to impose a single criminal justice system throughout the European Union, including the United Kingdom, is advancing at a rapid pace. Under the system proposed by Brussels, known as Corpus Juris, Britain's historic freedoms and liberties are to be ended in the name of a new "efficient" Europe. It is no exaggeration to say that if implemented the United Kingdom, without Habeas Corpus and trial by jury, would in all reality become a police state. The moment of truth has arrived when either the people of Britain will submit to slavery under the E.U. Civil law, or they will determine to maintain the Common Law and their gift to the free world...liberty from arbitrary arrest and incarceration, as safeguarded by Habeas Corpus and trial by jury: a judicial system which is unknown anywhere on the European continent. A ravenous beast is about to be unleashed in Britain and it will devour it if unchecked. The British Minister of State for Home Affairs, Jack Straw, describes Britain's right to jury trial as "frankly eccentric." Indeed, the British are "eccentric" in the E.U. eyes and thus has it been for centuries. Britain's membership of the E.U. under the burden of the Treaty of Rome is fatally flawed. It is like trying to bind iron and clay together. In the end it must all fall apart and, as a nation, we will continue to ignore this fact to our great cost.*

Whether adopting an absolutist, Masonic or secular humanistic guise, all these attempts to undermine Britain are essentially *Romish* and they continue to this day (33) p 9ff. The invasion of Prince William was God's form of deliverance from the attempt of 1688. It is true that it was an *invasion*. It is also true that having restored English liberty out of a sense of duty, William demonstrated little regard for England herself, except inasmuch as her wealth and power could help him (50) p 4ff. He removed the 1st Guards and Coldstreamers from London, replaced them with Dutch infantry and reserved the highest commands in the English Army for Dutchmen. The English deeply resented these actions. He moved swiftly to punish the refusal of a Highland clan to submit to his authority and the tragic consequences went down in history as the Massacre of Glencoe. Churchill wrote *The King had not prescribed the method but he bears the indelible shame of the deed*. Further, McCormick (2) p 133 writes of William's Masonic associations. *The moving [for modern Orangeism] was a substantial farmer called James...Wilson - a practising Freemason. An Orange historian says Wilson was "a member of the Society of Freemasons which fully qualified him for establishing a new order of a secret character. He was already familiar with signs and passwords, and he was likewise conversant with the history of the Prince of Orange, who was himself a Freemason. It is, therefore, clear that he knew the services which had been rendered by the ancient craft in keeping alive the principles of the [1688] Revolution and in preserving the name of Orange, many of the Freemason Lodges being called Orange Lodges." If it is true that William was a Freemason, then the British Revolution of 1688 as well as the American of 1775 and the French of 1789 were all Masonically orientated!*

It would not be surprising therefore, to learn that Freemasonry may well have received a boost during William's reign and in the years following, especially with the formation of the Grand Lodge of England, 1717 (2) p 23ff, although it was well established in England long before then. For example, Elias Ashmole was received into the Warrington Lodge in 1646 and Masonic Lodges existed in Scotland at least as early as 1406. Masonry's influence for evil, reaching down to modern times, cannot, of course, be denied and is one reason why Mr. McCormick wrote his book. He states in his Preface *That there is a pressing need for more Bible-based examination of Freemasonry for both Christians and unbelievers is, I believe, generally acknowledged. Freemasonry, far from declining, has been spreading. Political manoeuvring and compromise, tolerance of evil and the overthrow of justice can, in many cases, be traced back to Masonry or the spirit of Masonry.* To illustrate this point he

documents the murder of Captain William Morgan in September 1826, p 106ff, who was silenced for threatening to reveal the secrets of the Masonic Order and the mysterious death of banker and P2 Masonic Lodge member, Roberto Calvi, p 100ff. Calvi's body was found in the early hours of June 18th, 1982 hanging under Blackfriars Bridge, *within the jurisdiction of the City of London, the most Masonically controlled local authority in the country*, p 10, in circumstances that were strongly suggestive of a ritualistic Masonic killing. McCormick writes, p 100, *The first inquest recorded a verdict of suicide. A new inquest was ordered in the High Court, London, March 30th 1983. Calvi, it was claimed, was about to "name names" of top Vatican officials and was murdered, claimed his relatives. An open verdict was eventually returned.* See also Manhattan (36) p 240ff. It appears that Calvi intended to reveal the close links between the Vatican, the P2 Lodge and the Banco Ambrosiano, of which he was president and from the collapse of which, the Vatican lost three quarters of a billion dollars. Commenting of the Vatican's lifting of the ban on Freemasonry, January 1983, McCormick writes p 103, 105 *The Vatican obviously has double standards. It can invest vast sums via Freemasons yet appear to condemn Freemasonry. Popes for centuries, the German Bishops in the 70's and others recently, roundly anathematize Masonry, yet Roman Catholics may join the Brotherhood with its "Satanic oaths". Rome acts out of policy not principle. She has her own unchecked Secret Societies such as the Society of Jesus (the Jesuits). Perhaps the P2 Masonic Scandal, and Masonry's accommodating stance towards Rome, has persuaded the Vatican that a quick and lasting embrace of Freemasonry is the only way to avoid an exposure vastly more damaging to the Roman Catholic Church than Watergate to the U.S.A. - it might even ride to world conquest on the back of Masonry which now looks more universal than ever.* See comments earlier by Michael de Semlyen (18) p 104. Perhaps Rome's traditional antagonism towards Freemasonry stems from a dislike of secret societies at least partially independent from her own!

To return to William's invasion of 1688, it was nevertheless urged upon the Prince by Lord Edward Russel. William himself requested a formal invitation, which he received by letter in the handwriting of Lord Henry Sidney and signed by seven Lords, Shrewsbury, Devonshire, Dunby, Lumley, Compton (Bishop of London), Russel and Sidney himself. There is no doubting the popular support for the Lords' request or the enthusiasm with which William's arrival on these shores was greeted (41) p 30ff. The invasion therefore could not be described as *hostile* and it achieved what was most significant for the English people *the overthrow of that foreign despotic power - popery, which James had sought arduously, but in vain, to establish in our land. William had succeeded in throwing down the lawless policy of the fugitive king; the yet more difficult task now lay before him of building up a lawful government. The peers and bishops presented an address to William, desiring him to summon a Convention by Circular letters, and in the meanwhile to undertake the management of public affairs. In order to obtain a wider declaration of public consent, the prince invited all the members who had sat in the House of Commons during the parliaments of Charles II - the only freely elected Parliament which could be summoned in that emergency. All the Scotchmen of rank in London were also assembled. They unanimously seconded the proposal of the Lords, and the prince then proceeded to issue circular letters to the counties and corporations of England, requesting them to send their elected representatives. The convention met on January 22nd, 1689. It was composed of Protestants only, a large majority of which were Episcopalians, a small minority were Nonconformists. The House voted that: "King James II, having endeavoured to subvert the constitution of this kingdom by breaking the original contract between king and people, and, by advice of Jesuits and other wicked persons, having violated the fundamental laws, and withdrawn himself out of the kingdom, had abdicated the government, and that the throne was thereby vacant." This resolution of the Commons was, after much debate and opposition, adopted by the Lords. Thanks were given to the clergy who had refused to read the king's Declaration. It was resolved that past experiences had proved that it was inconsistent with the welfare and safety of the realm, that this Protestant kingdom should be governed by a popish monarch. It was declared by a committee who discussed the best method for securing religious liberty that: "Effectual*

*provision be made for the liberty of Protestants in the exercise of their religion and for uniting all Protestants in the manner of public worship as far as may be.” It is well for us to note these measures; they were the herald of that perfect religious liberty which all enjoy in our free England today - the rising dawn of the full day of Gospel freedom (41) p 38ff. That Parliament’s deliberations culminated of course in the Bill of Rights, February 13th 1689, establishing the throne on a Protestant basis and the Act of Settlement, 1701, securing Protestant succession to the throne, enjoining *that only a member of the Church of England should wear the crown* (41) p 48. Note that the political process described here is genuinely open and fair and shows that William was true to his pledge to maintain the religion and liberties of loyal Englishmen.*

Thanks to the Parliament of William’s day, religious liberty was and is a reality in this country. The Gospel of Christian salvation through the shed blood of Jesus Christ plus nothing, Ephesians 1:7, Colossians 1:14, could be and was proclaimed openly. Even if Masonry was at work in the 18th century, alongside Jesuit craft, God nevertheless blessed this nation with massive revivals (51) (52) p 62ff (53) p 434ff that established a foundation for the missionary endeavours of the 19th and early 20th centuries. Other profound sociological, political and material benefits followed in the wake of revival. One is still free to proclaim this Gospel today, although of course opposition is increasing (19) p 104ff. If Rome is successful via the E.U. and its “directives” (47), the terrible atrocities inflicted on the Citadel Church (25-27) could be repeated here. The Authorized King James Bible, the “engine” of revival, continued to be readily available, as it still is although subverted by the modern versions, which stemmed from the Romeward movement of the Anglican Church in the latter part of the 19th century (33) 6ff. Although the Monarch must be a member of the Church of England, nothing prevents Nonconformists from lawfully assembling for religious purposes - yet. This freedom extends to Catholics, Moslems [[who routinely abuse it www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/ The KJB, Britain and Islam. 2015 update](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/alan-oreilly/The_KJB_Britain_and_Islam)] and other non-Christian groups. The Monarch and therefore Parliament, through its senior peers, are - or should be - bound by the Coronation Oath, which recognises that Holy Scripture is the final authority (52) p 34, (54) p 3, *not* the Pope *or* any Masonic “brotherhood” [[www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/ Royal Law – James 2:8. 2015 update](http://www.timefortruth.co.uk/why-av-only/Royal_Law_-_James_2:8)]. Sadly, this protective oath has been eroded somewhat, to the benefit of popery, because in 1910 George V insisted that references to transubstantiation and other false teachings of Rome be omitted (55) p 290 (56) p 65, (57) p 17. He was aided and abetted in this act of treachery against his own subjects by none other than the spiritualist Conan Doyle, showing once again the occult association of Rome with Mystery Babylon. Even the term *Vaticanus* means *the place of divination* (7) p 23! Conan Doyle was the first to argue publicly for the removal of the references to Romish dogma in the Coronation Oath (58, biographical note).

The resolutions of 1688 may seem to discriminate against Catholics but they have been able to enter Parliament ever since the Emancipation Act of 1829. Regrettably, Roman Catholics have repeatedly abused this concession by violating their parliamentary oath in order to destroy the Protestant religion (55) p 40ff. Given the present state of the Church of England, it appears they have succeeded well and satanic confusion is rampant. For example, the Church of England in Sydney, the most fundamental and evangelical branch of that denomination in the Antipodes, actually included an order of service for Freemasonry, in the 1960’s! [2015 update. This writer happened to be in attendance at the local C of E parish church at the time, as one of whom it was said **“they went in their simplicity, and they knew not anything” 2 Samuel 15:11**]

Members of other faiths, or none, may also occupy seats in the House and the ungodliness of successive governments is painfully manifest in the raft of evil, anti Biblical enactments foisted on the country in recent decades. It is possible to be generous to a fault with liberty!

However, it is not correct to say that William’s Parliament either *subverted our common law system* in order to achieve *unrestricted supremacy* or that *it idolatrously claims the right and power to legislate in*

any civil or ecclesiastical matter evil to the offence of God. The checks and balances that his Parliament established ensured quite the opposite and were themselves intended as safeguards against these very abuses that had so characterized the Jesuitical reign of the popish king, James II. However insidious the spread of Masonry following the Glorious Revolution - and Calvi's death shows that its malignant influence is alive and well and now most likely prospering in concert with Rome - it did not prevent the spread of the Gospel through the ministry of spirit-filled preachers. John Wesley, George Whitefield, Jonathan Edwards, Howell Harris, William McCulloch, Charles Simeon and others carried the precious seed of the Gospel not only throughout the British Isles but overseas to the American colonies and the continent of Europe itself. From there the word of Christian salvation has gone throughout the world. Actual opposition, when it was encountered, came mainly from Catholics or other church-state adherents for whom the persecuting spirit of Rome died hard but not from Masons.

Probably the most informed statesman of any persuasion in Britain today is Dr. the Reverend Ian Paisley, who has this summation to give (59) p 88. He is not speaking of either Masons or humanists but of **"Mystery Babylon the Great, The Mother of Harlots and Abominations of the Earth"** Revelation 17:5.

Our forefathers with clear views on the Papacy wrote this safeguard into the great Acts of Rights and Settlement: "Every person that shall hold communion with the See or Church of Rome shall be excluded and forever incapable to possess the Crown and Government of this Realm and Dominions." Yet today this safeguard which cost the blood of the Reformers, Confessors and Martyrs, is being shamefully flouted. Woe to the Royal House of Britain for flirting with the Roman Harlot! Woe to the Government of this United Kingdom for parleying with popery! May God Almighty awaken us to see popery as it is in the eyes of God. To me, a Bible-instructed Protestant, Rome may paint her face and attire her hair like Jezebel of old, but I still recognise the murderous wrinkles on the brow of the old scarlet-robed hag. She may clothe herself in her finest attire but underneath the gorgeous robes I see the leprous garments of her whoredoms. Rome may extend her gloved hand (well may it be gloved, for upon it is "that damned spot" the innocent blood from which she shall never be cleansed) but I will never take it. Rome may whisper her tones of "Peace, Peace", but the poison of asps is under her lying tongue and war is in her polluted heart. Rome may deceive the nerveless, spineless, jellyfish apostates, but she will not deceive God's elect. I recognise her. To her and to all those World Council of Churches spokesmen, who present her to their deceived dupes as the Great Mother, the Queen of Nations, we reply as we look upon her debased and repulsive figure, "Why feignest thou thyself, thou wife of Beelzebub?" The dog will return to its vomit. The washed sow will return to its wallowing in the mire, but by God's grace we will never return to Popery. We see her in the glass of God's precious word and we reject her forever.

Amen!

Yours sincerely

Alan O'Reilly

Copy: Christian Concern, NUNEATON

References

1. *Mystery, Babylon the Great* I. A. Sadler, published by the author, available from Christian Concern, 1999.
2. *Christ, The Christian, & Freemasonry* W.J. McK. McCormick, Great Joy Publications, Belfast, 1984.
3. *The Curse of Baphomet* Jack T. Chick, Chick Publications, Chino, California, 1991.
4. *Sabotage?* Jack T. Chick, Chick Publications, Chino, California, 1979.
5. *The Godfathers* Jack T. Chick, Chick Publications, Chino, California, 1982.
6. *Babylon Mystery Religion* Ralph Woodrow, Ralph Woodrow Evangelistic Association, Inc., Riverside California, 1981 [2015 update. Woodrow apostatized some years ago. However, the same material, without reference to Woodrow and with much more additional information is obtainable from *Babylon Religion* by David W. Daniels www.chick.com/catalog/books/0187.asp].
7. *The Force* Jack T. Chick, Chick Publications, Chino, California, 1983.
8. *Is Alberto for Real?* Sidney Hunter, Chick Publications, Chino, California, 1988.
9. *Mark of the Beast* Peter S. Ruckman, Bible Baptist Bookstore, Pensacola, Florida, 1984.
10. *The Book of Revelation, The Bible Believer's Commentary Series* Peter S. Ruckman, Bible Baptist Bookstore, Pensacola, Florida, 1982.
11. *Let's Weight the Evidence* Barry Burton, Chick Publications, Chino, California, 1983.
12. *The Vatican's Holocaust* Avro Manhattan, Ozark Books, Springfield, Missouri, 1986.
13. *Vietnam, Why Did We Go?* Avro Manhattan, Chick Publications, Chino, California, 1984.
14. *Catholic Terror in Ireland* Avro Manhattan, Chick Publications, Chino, California, 1988.
15. *The Informer* Sean O'Callaghan, Bantam Press, London, 1998.
16. *The Province of Terror* Intelligence Digest, 1990.
17. *Bible Believers' Bulletin* Bible Baptist Church, Pensacola, Florida, June 2000.
18. *All Roads Lead To Rome?* Michael de Semlyen, Dochester House Publications, Gerrards Cross, Bucks., 1991.
19. *The Principality and Power of Europe* Adrian Hilton, Dochester House Publications, Gerrards Cross, Bucks., 1997.
20. *Christian Voice, December 1996* Royston, Herts.
21. *Understanding the New World Order* Roy Livesey, New Wine Press, Chicester, 1989.
22. *Bible Believers' Bulletin* Bible Baptist Church, Pensacola, Florida, July 2000.
23. *1521, No. 11, 1991* Holywell, Clwyd, Great Britain.
24. *Waffen SS* Martin Windrow, Osprey Publishing, London, 1978.
25. *1521, No. 13, 1993* Holywell, Clwyd, Great Britain.
26. *1521, No. 23, 1998* Holywell, Clwyd, Great Britain.
27. *The Violation of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms in France* A.M.S. International Support Committee, Ravenhill Road, Belfast, United Kingdom.

28. *Alberto* Jack T. Chick, Chick Publications, Chino, California, 1979.
29. *House of Death and Gate of Hell* L .J. King, Osterhus Publishing House, Minnesota, 1947-1948.
30. *Escape From a Catholic Convent* Sister Charlotte's Testimony, A.I.C. International Christian Ministries, Upland, Ca.
31. *Terror Behind Locked Doors* Maria Monk, Ambassador Productions Ltd., Belfast.
32. *The Priest, The Woman and The Confessional* Chiniquy, Chick Publications, Chino, California.
33. *Britain Under Siege* Alan O'Reilly, Christian Concern, Nuneaton, 2000.
34. *In God's Name* David Yallop, Corgi Books, London, 1985.
35. *Battle Cry November/December 1999* Jack T. Chick, Chick Publications, Chino, California.
36. *The Vatican Billions* Avro Manhattan, Chick, Chick Publications, Chino, California, 1983.
37. *Catholicism Against Itself, Volume 1* O. C. Lambert, Lambert Book House Inc., Louisiana, 1956.
38. *Bible Believers' Bulletin* Bible Baptist Church, Pensacola, Florida, November, December 1997.
39. *What do we Owe to the Reformation?* Bishop J. C. Ryle, The Protestant Truth Society, London.
40. *A History of the English Speaking Peoples, Volume II, The New World* Winston S. Churchill, Cassell, London, 1977.
41. *I Will Maintain* W. Stanley Martin, Focus Christian Ministries Trust, Lewes, Sussex, 1988.
42. *Reformation and Counter Reformation* J. R. Broome, Gospel Standard Trust Publications, Harpenden, Herts., 1988.
43. *The Glorious Revolution 1688* Dr. David Allen, Cherith Publications, reprinted from 1521 No.'s 6-9, 1989-1990.
44. *James II and the Seven Bishops* J. C. Ryle, reprinted by Focus Christian Ministries Trust, Lewes, Sussex, 1988.
45. *Christian Voice, April 1999, December 1999, February 2000, March 2000* Royston, Herts.
46. *Faith in the Family Advocate, Issue 3, July 1999* The Christian Institute, Newcastle Upon Tyne.
47. *European Threat to Religious Freedom* The Christian Institute, Newcastle Upon Tyne, June 2000.
48. *Manual of Romish Controversy* Rev. R. P. Blakeney, The Gospel Witness, Toronto, Canada, 1851.
49. *Britain's Unique Heritage of Law Threatened by an E.U. Police State* Michael A. Clark, Covenant Nations, London, April 2000.
50. *A History of the English Speaking Peoples, Volume III, The New World* Winston S. Churchill, Cassell, London, 1977.
51. *Revivals, Their Rise, Progress & Achievements* Eifion Evans, Evangelical Press of Wales, Bridgend, 1986.
52. *The Trumpet Sounds for Britain, Volume 1* David E. Gardner, Christian Foundations Publications, Manchester, 1983.
53. *The History of Christianity* Lion Publishing, Berkhamsted, Herts., 1977.
54. *Our Protestant Throne and Constitution* J. A. Kensit, The Protestant Truth Society, London.
55. *Popery in its Social Aspect* Rev. R. P. Blakeney, The Gospel Witness, Toronto, Canada, 1854.

56. *The Churchman's Magazine, July/August 1988* The Protestant Truth Society, London.
57. *The Churchman's Magazine, January/March 1990* The Protestant Truth Society, London.
58. *The Complete Sherlock Holmes Long Stories, The Complete Sherlock Holmes Short Stories* Sir Arthur Conan Doyle, John Murray, London, 1962, 1963.
59. *No Pope Here* Ian R. K. Paisley, Martyrs Memorial Publications, Belfast, 1982.